

pubs.acs.org/est

Mercury Contamination Challenges the Behavioral Response of a Keystone Species to Arctic Climate Change

Andrea S. Grunst,^{*} Melissa L. Grunst, David Grémillet, Akiko Kato, Paco Bustamante, Céline Albert, Émile Brisson-Curadeau, Manon Clairbaux, Marta Cruz-Flores, Sophie Gentès, Samuel Perret, Eric Ste-Marie, Katarzyna Wojczulanis-Jakubas, and Jérôme Fort



ecosystems. Elevated mercury (Hg) exposure in Arctic animals could affect behavioral responses to changes in foraging landscapes caused by climate change, generating interactive effects on behavior and population resilience. We investigated this hypothesis in little auks (*Alle alle*), a keystone Arctic seabird. We compiled behavioral data for 44 birds across 5 years using accelerometers while also quantifying blood Hg and environmental conditions. Warm sea surface temperature (SST) and low sea ice coverage reshaped time activity budgets (TABs) and diving patterns, causing decreased resting, increased flight, and longer dives. Mercury contamination was not associated with TABs. However, highly contaminated birds





lengthened interdive breaks when making long dives, suggesting Hg-induced physiological limitations. As dive durations increased with warm SST, subtle toxicological effects threaten to increasingly constrain diving and foraging efficiency as climate change progresses, with ecosystem-wide repercussions.

KEYWORDS: climate change, behavioral ecotoxicology, toxicant-induced climate change sensitivity, multiple stressors, behavioral plasticity, mercury (Hg), diving behavior

INTRODUCTION

Global climate change is reconfiguring ecosystems, exposing organisms to multiple interacting stressors, including thermal stress, resource redistribution, and altered pollutant expo-⁻⁴ A pressing research priority involves understanding sure.1how multiple stressor interactions will affect biological systems as climate change progresses.⁵⁻⁷ In particular, mercury (Hg) contamination of marine ecosystems is a persistent problem, which might be aggravated by altered Hg cycling in warming oceans.⁸⁻¹⁰ Thus, amplified toxicological effects of Hg exposure threaten to impair organismal resiliency to other climate change-associated stressors, $^{11-13}$ especially by affecting patterns of physiological and behavioral plasticity whereby animals adjust to environmental variability.14-17 Indeed, in its highly toxic methylated form (methylmercury, MeHg), Hg acts as a potent neurotoxin and endocrine disrupter, which can substantially alter physiology, energetics, and behavioral capacity.^{18,19} Therefore, Hg exposure has the potential to exacerbate declines in behavioral performance under challenging environmental conditions (e.g., resource limitation and predation risk), making behavioral reaction norms steeper and generating synergistic effects.^{14,20,21} For example, Hg-exposed zebra finch (Taeniopygia guttata) increased foraging latency

under predation risk more than controls, with implications for energy intake.²⁰ Concomitantly, Hg contamination might inhibit behavioral adjustments, canalizing reaction norms. For instance, Hg exposure can cause anemia and lower hemoglobin's oxygen carrying capacity, which might constrain diving behavior in aquatic avian and mammalian predators faced with shifting prey distributions.²²

The Arctic marine biota is vulnerable to joint effects of climate change and environmental Hg contamination, making the Arctic an important arena for studying how Hg exposure might affect animal resiliency to climate change.^{23,24} The Arctic is warming >3 times faster than other regions, causing cascading effects on ecosystem structure.⁴ For example, warming sea surface temperature (SST) and drastic reductions in summer sea ice coverage (SIC) are reconfiguring foraging

Received:November 25, 2022Revised:January 7, 2023Accepted:January 9, 2023

Downloaded via UNIV OF ANTWERPEN on January 19, 2023 at 09:38:05 (UTC). See https://pubs.acs.org/sharingguidelines for options on how to legitimately share published articles.



landscapes, leading to behavioral and bioenergetic challenges.^{25–28} Moreover, effects of climate change on the cryosphere and biochemical cycling are altering contaminant exposure patterns, with rising Hg contamination in some Arctic seabird and marine mammal populations presenting a special concern.^{24,29–31}

We used a keystone Arctic seabird, the little auk (dovekie, Alle alle), as a model species to explore whether effects of Hg exposure on behavioral plasticity could underlie interactive effects of Hg contamination and other climate changeassociated stressors on Arctic animals. Little auks are the most abundant seabirds in the North Atlantic (\sim 30-40 million breeding pairs globally) and act as ecosystem engineers by transferring nutrients between marine and terrestrial ecosystems.³² Energy-rich sea ice-associated copepods upon which little auks specialize are declining with climate change, and smaller Atlantic species moving northward, altering the little auk's resource base.^{27,33,34} Furthermore, little auks exhibit high flight and dive costs and operate close to maximum energy capacity, rendering them vulnerable to energetic stress.^{35,36} Behavioral plasticity could buffer little auks, and the ecosystems they underpin, against climate change.¹⁵ However, Hg exposure in little auks from East Greenland has risen concurrent with climate change, threatening to impair behavioral plasticity and reproductive performance.^{33,3}

We leveraged temporal variation in environmental conditions across 5 years at a little auk colony in East Greenland combined with advanced biologging techniques (triaxial accelerometry) and individual-level Hg contamination measurements to test two hypotheses: (1) warm oceanic conditions (high SST and low SIC) affect little auk time activity budgets (TABs), increasing energetically expensive flying and diving behavior and altering behavioral performance traits (flight and dive durations), and (2) Hg exposure exacerbates or inhibits changes in TABs, and finer scale adjustments of behavioral performance traits, such as adjustments of dive duration to prey distributions.³³ Effects of Hg exposure on behavioral plasticity could constitute a central mechanism driving multiple stressor interactive effects by altering all the little auks' resiliency to climate change, which given the species' keystone status, could have reverberating effects throughout Arctic ecosystems.

METHODS

Field Methods. We studied little auks at a colony at Ukaleqarteq (Kap Høegh; $70^{\circ}44'N$, $21^{\circ}35'W$), East Greenland, during the breeding season (June–August, 2017–2021). The East Greenland current transports Arctic waters southward past Ukaleqarteq, promoting favorable foraging conditions for little auks, but SST and SIC vary interannually, and climate change is increasingly elevating SST and reducing SIC.^{29,33} Little auks nest under rocks, often in accessible locations. The sexes are of similar size and contribute equally to parental care.^{38,39} Thus, we did not distinguish between the sexes.

We equipped little auks with accelerometers (Axy4, Technosmart, $25 \times 10 \times 5$ mm, 3.0 g, including tape used for attachment, <2% body mass) to derive records of TABs and behavioral performance traits (dive/flight duration). During each season (July 19 to August 5, 2017–2021), we deployed accelerometers during chick rearing, beginning when chicks were ~3–6 days old, as estimated by size⁴⁰ or determined via hatching date. Sample sizes per year were 17,

7, 20, 11, and 7 across 2017–2021. We captured birds near nests and secured accelerometers to ventral feathers using Tesa tape. Handling time was kept to a minimum at the time of accelerometer deployment to limit effects on behavioral patterns. Birds were recaptured within 3–11 days. Occasionally, shorter datasets (0.5-2 days) were obtained due to battery difficulties. Data <3/4th day (18 h) in duration (one dataset from 2021, 13 h in duration, containing 132 dives) were not used in analyses of TABs but were used when analyzing other behavioral traits (proportion deep diving, dive and interdive durations; see below). Removing this short dataset from the analysis did not substantially alter results involving diving behavior. At recapture, we obtained small blood samples (~0.2 mL) via brachial venepuncture for Hg analysis.

Determining Behavioral Traits from Accelerometer Data. Acceleration was logged in three dimensions at 50 Hz, with X corresponding to surge (back to front), Y to sway (side to side), and Z to heave (dorsal to ventral). Temperature was logged at 0.2 Hz. We used Igor Pro 9.0 (WaveMetrics, Inc., Lake Oswego, OR, USA) combined with Ethographer 2.05⁴¹ to process data and classify behaviors. In brief, acceleration waves (data vectors measured at equal intervals) in all dimensions were smoothed using a rolling algorithm (1 s sliding window) in Igor to extract gravitational acceleration. We calculated body pitch using the formula: atan(XW_B/ $(sqrt(YW_B^2 + ZW_B^2)) \times (180/pi))$ and vectorial dynamic body acceleration (VDBA) using the formula: sqrt((XW B- $XW)^2$ + $(YW_B-YW)^2$ + $(ZW_B-ZW)^2$), where XW_B , YW_B, and ZW_B are smoothed acceleration waves.^{42,43} We used continuous wavelet transformation applied to Z dimension waves to derive dominant wing beat frequency across time (1 Hz resolution). We then applied K-mean cluster analysis to dominant frequency waves, using four clusters, which resulted in clusters corresponding to flying ($\sim 10-11$ Hz), descending dives (~3.5-4.5 Hz), rest (~0 Hz), and intermediate activity ($\sim 0-3.5$ Hz). These values are consistent with previous work in little auks.³⁶

We wrote custom functions in Igor to classify behavior, using the cluster analysis output, pitch, VDBA, and temperature. Flying was identified based on a wing beat frequency of ~10–11 Hz. Dives started when wing beat frequency was \sim 3.5–4.5 Hz and pitch was between -10 and -30° and ended when pitch increased to $>40^{\circ}$ and then returned to zero at the water surface. We subdivided dives into deep dives, wherein the descent phase with high wing beat frequency lasted >5 s, and shallower dives, wherein this phase lasted ≤ 5 s. Histograms revealed that these criteria captured a bimodal distribution in dive durations. We calculated the proportion of time deep versus shallower diving (hereafter, proportion deep diving) as a metric of variation in foraging behavior. Time resting at the colony was identified via absence of wing beat and elevated temperature (>~17 °C), following a period of flight. Time resting on sea ice was classified based on an initial high pitch, indicating standing, absence of wing beat, and elevated temperature (lower than for colony; $\sim 12-16$ °C; Figure S1). Birds were classed as resting on water based on low wing beat, low temperature (>~10 $^{\circ}$ C), and absence of classification into another category. We adjusted temperature and pitch ranges associated with behaviors depending on the positioning of accelerometers on individuals, with pitch standardized to zero during flight. We calculated TABs (flying, resting at the colony, on ice, diving, on water) across each

accelerometer dataset's duration (Figure S1), rather than daily TABs, as Arctic breeding little auks are not constrained by daily light–dark cycles and lack circadian rhythms in behavior.⁴⁴

We also used accelerometer data to determine durations of foraging trips, dives, interdive intervals, and flights, proportion time spent flying during foraging trips versus around the colony (hereafter, proportion foraging flight), and chick provisioning rates. Breeding little auks display a dual foraging strategy, with short trips used for provisioning chicks and longer trips for self-maintenance. We assumed that short and long trips derive from two log-normal distributions.⁴⁵ We logtransformed trip duration and used K-mean clustering in R (R Core 2021) to group foraging trips into two categories. The cutoff value separating short and long trips was 3.9 h, which is lower than previously published values (~5.5-6 h).^{46,47} However, we preferred to use the value deriving from our dataset. We determined whether time on the water surface was part of a dive bout (interdive interval) using the standard classification method in the R package diveMove.48 The bout ending criteria was 308 s, and we assumed that dives were ≤ 120 s.³⁶ Thus, interdive intervals were defined as periods between dives <308 s. Dive and interdive durations can be interdependent⁴⁹ and might be constrained by contaminationlinked physiological changes.²² Thus, we were interested in whether interrelationships between dive and interdive durations were altered in Hg-contaminated birds. We determined the proportion of foraging flight by classifying flights embedded within periods of resting at the colony as colony-based and other flight as foraging-based, and taking the quotient (foraging/colony-based flight). We estimated chick provisioning trips per day as the number of colony visits \geq 30 s long (minimum time to feed chicks) that proceeded a foraging trip containing ≥ 5 dives. Video-recording data from accelerometer-equipped birds showed that colony visits fulfilling these criteria were almost invariably associated with birds returning with a full gular pouch and feeding the chick.

Mercury Contamination. Blood samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 3500 rpm, and red blood cells (RBCs) were stored in 70% ethanol pending analysis. RBCs were later freeze-dried for 48 h and homogenized, after which total Hg concentrations were measured using an advanced Hg analyzer spectrophotometer (Altec AMA 254) at the Littoral Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs) laboratory, La Rochelle University.⁵⁰ Mercury in avian blood consists primarily of MeHg (>90%).^{51,52} Thus, total blood Hg provides a good proxy for MeHg exposure. Two or more Hg measurements were taken per bird, using small aliquots of dried RBCs $(\sim 1.5-5 \text{ ng})$ until the standard deviation was <10%. We regularly measured a certified reference material [CRM; Lobster Hepatopancreas Tort-3; NRC, Canada; Hg concentration of 0.292 \pm 0.022 μ g/g dry weight (dw)] and ran blanks before each session. The detection limit was 0.005 μ g/g and Tort-3 measurements (mean \pm SD) averaged 0.306 \pm 0.004 μ g/g. Mercury concentrations were not available for 2017; hence, analyses involving Hg do not include this year.

Our Hg measurements were derived from dried RBCs, rather than whole blood. Thus, for comparison to toxicological thresholds for birds, which have been formulated for measurements taken on whole blood [wet weight (ww)], we used the equation recommended by Ackerman et al. $(2016)^{53}$ to convert Hg concentrations in dried RBCs to whole blood (ww) equivalents. Namely, we assumed a blood moisture

content of 79% and thus converted from dried RBC concentrations (dw) to whole blood (ww) equivalents by multiplying the concentrations measured in RBCs by 0.21.

Sea Surface Temperature and Sea Ice Coverage. We focused on relationships between SST and SIC and behavior as these variables strongly affect foraging conditions for little auks.^{27,33,54} We determined SST within the little auk's primary foraging range at Ukaleqarteq, determined by GPS tracking, using the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's interpolated SST (OISST) high-resolution daily dataset (1/4° global grid; https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.noaa.oisst. v2.highres.html). The foraging range was encompassed by -21.57° longitude west, -17.47° longitude east, 69.8° latitude south, and 71.65° latitude north and comprised an $\sim 160 \times 200$ km area. We extracted daily SST across this area during the chick rearing period, across all days of accelerometer deployment. We averaged daily values across the foraging range and each day of accelerometer deployment for use in analyses.

SIC data were downloaded from the University of Bremen's Sea Ice Remote Sensing database (https://seaice.uni-bremen. de/sea-ice-concentration/amsre-amsr2/). Data derived from brightness temperature measurements from the advanced microwave scanning radiometer 2 (ASMR2) sensor, mounted aboard the JAXA satellite "Shizuku" (GCOM-W1). The ASI algorithm permits the derivation of SIC by differences between brightness temperatures at 89 GHz and polarization, with atmospheric corrections.⁵⁶ We gridded data on a polar stereographic grid through near-neighbor interpolation with a 3.125 km resolution. We extracted daily SIC values, and derived averages from data spanning the foraging range and days of accelerometer deployment, as for SST.

Statistical Analysis. We used R 4.1.2⁵⁷ to process and analyze data. We performed ANOVAs to compare SST, SIC, and Hg concentrations (log-transformed) among years and R package *emmeans* for *post-hoc* comparisons (Tukey method).⁵⁸ We used linear mixed-effect models (LMMs) with Satterthwaite approximations for degrees of freedom and year as a random effect to assess whether blood Hg varied with SST/SIC (R packages *lme4, lmerTest*).^{59,60}

We analyzed TABs using Dirichlet (mixed) models fit in JAGS, via R2jags.^{61,62} The Dirichlet distribution is a multivariate generalization of the beta distribution, suitable for multivariate compositional data.⁶³ JAGS implements Bayesian models via Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation. We ran four chains simultaneously with 50,000 repetitions, burn-in of 25,000, thinning of 25, and vague priors. All estimated parameters had an Rhat value of ~ 1 , indicating convergence. We first compared TABs among years (fixed effect), with predicted differences determined as derived quantities. We then used Dirichlet mixed models, with year as a random effect, to explore relationships between SST, SIC (logtransformed), and TABs. We assessed effects of Hg within the year-comparison (fixed effect) model and included an interaction between Hg and SST/SIC in the mixed model. Data were transformed using the *DirichletReg* package prior to analysis to avoid zeros.⁶⁴ We analyzed proportion deep diving and proportion foraging flight using beta regression (mixed) models in R2jags, and the same approach as above. Predicted differences and parameter estimates from models fit in R2jags were considered significant when 95% credible intervals (CIs) did not cross zero. We used graphical inspection and deviance information criterion (DIC) to assess model fit.

We analyzed among year variation in foraging trip and flight durations (per trip) using LMMs and emmeans, with bird identity as a random effect (multiple trips per individual). Long and short trips were analyzed separately. We used LMMs to analyze effects of SST/SIC, Hg, and interactions on these variables, with bird identity and year as random effects. The same analyses, but without repeated measures on individuals, were performed for maximum continuous flight duration, deep dive duration, and interdive duration (individual-level averages). We included interactions between Hg and interdive or dive durations in models involving diving behavior as dive and interdive durations can be interdependent.⁴⁹ We also performed a model predicting deep dive duration from the interaction between foraging trip duration and SST/SIC, to assess the hypothesis that birds reach colder, more profitable waters, in which diving behavior could differ, on longer trips. Individual identity was a random effect.

RESULTS

Variation in Oceanic Conditions and Hg Contamination. Across years, SST experienced by little auks during accelerometer deployment within their primary foraging range averaged (mean \pm SE) 2.20 \pm 0.224 °C (range -0.932, 7.416 °C), and SIC averaged 3.33 \pm 0.867% (range: 0.016– 14.812%). SST ($F_{4,57}$ = 43.66, P < 0.001) and SIC ($F_{4,57}$ = 36.84, P < 0.001) varied among years, with SST being warmer and SIC being lower in 2018 and 2021 (Table 1). Blood Hg averaged 1.088 \pm 0.039 μ g/g dw (range: 0.579–1.745 μ g/g dw), or, for comparison to toxicological benchmarks, ~0.228 μ g/g ww (range: 0.121–0.366 μ g/g ww), assuming a blood moisture content of 79%.⁶⁴ Blood Hg (μ g/g) concentrations

Table 1. Variation in (a) Sea Surface Temperature (SST; °C) and (b) Sea Ice Coverage (SIC; %) and (c) Blood Mercury (Hg) Concentrations (μ g/g dw), Experienced by Little Auks at Ukaleqarteq, East Greenland, Summarized across Study Years^{*a*}

year	mean \pm SE	95% CI	range	N
		(a) SST		
2017	2.472 ± 0.245	1.981, 2.964	3.312, 2.585	17
2018	3.615 ± 0.382	2.850, 4.381	3.544, 4.038	7
2019	1.415 ± 0.226	0.963, 1.868	0.318, 3.353	20
2020	-0.055 ± 0.305	-0.666, 0.555	-0.932, 0.675	11
2021	5.886 ± 0.382	5.120, 6.651	4.738, 7.416	7
overall	2.20 ± 0.224	1.75, 2.64	-0.932, 7.416	62
		(b) SIC		
2017	1.820 ± 0.497	1.052, 3.146	1.589, 2.027	17
2018	0.081 ± 0.034	0.034, 0.190	0.057, 0.263	7
2019	1.192 ± 0.300	0.719, 1.974	0.096, 4.736	20
2020	10.058 ± 3.417	5.093, 19.861	5.165, 14.812	11
2021	0.035 ± 0.015	0.015, 0.083	0.016, 0.078	7
overall	3.33 ± 0.867	2.48, 41.8	0.016, 14.812	62
(c) blood Hg				
2018	1.210 ± 0.096	1.031, 1.420	0.940-1.488	7
2019	0.916 ± 0.044	0.831, 1.010	0.579-1.627	19
2020	1.291 ± 0.082	1.136, 1.470	0.993-1.745	11
2021	1.193 ± 0.094	1.016, 1.400	1.102-1.272	7
overall	1.088 ± 0.039	1.013, 1.169	0.579-1.745	44

"We quantified values for environmental variables (SST, SIC) on a per-individual basis, depending on the period of accelerometer deployment. varied somewhat among years ($F_{3,40} = 7.706$, P < 0.001) (Table 1).

Warm Oceanic Conditions Affect TABs and Foraging Patterns. Variation in oceanic conditions (SST, SIC) among years correlated with the reshaping of TABs. In high SST-low SIC years (2018, 2021), little auks were predicted to spend as much as 11.7% (2.7, 21.1%) more time flying, 23.2% (12.8, 32.9%) more time on water, 21.4% (14.8%, 28.1%) less time resting on ice, and 27.6% (21.9, 32.6%) less time resting at the colony relative to in cold years (Figures 1a–e and S2). However, time resting at the colony was also low in the coldest year of 2020 (Figure 1b). Percent time diving was consistent across years, at 15–19% (Figure 1d).

Dirichlet mixed models substantiated the strong effects of SST and SIC on TABs. Beta coefficient estimates for SST (and SIC) had 95% CIs not crossing zero for time resting on ice $[-0.679 \ (-0.998, \ -0.341)]$ and at the colony $[-0.329 \ (-0.626, \ -0.045)]$ (Table S1). Predicted values for other behavioral categories were also affected by SST and SIC as predictions for behavioral categories are interdependent (Figure 2a). Time resting on sea ice was predicted to drop from ~25% to <3%, time resting at the colony to decline (~22 to 10%), time flying (~14 to 30%) and on water (~24 to 38%) to increase, and diving time to remain constant (~17-18%) as SST increased from <0 to >7 °C (Figure 2a).

Little auk foraging trip and flight patterns were also altered under warm conditions, with long trips primarily affected (Figure S3a-d and Tables S2–S6). Long trip duration ($F_{4,49}$ = 5.022, P < 0.001), flight time per long trip ($F_{4,56} = 6.801$, P < 0.001) 0.001), and maximum continuous flight duration ($F_{4.56}$ = 3.321, P = 0.016) were greatest during high SST years (Tables S2-S4 and Figure S3a,b). In the warmest year (2021), long trips lasted 27.5 h (20.4, 37.1), little auks flew 7.76 h (5.43, 11.09) during long trips, and the maximum continuous flight duration was 2.23 h (1.58, 2.88), compared to 14.4 h (12.3, 16.9), 3.12 h (2.56, 3.80), and 1.04 h (0.563, 1.51) in 2019. In mixed models, flight time per long trip ($\beta \pm$ SE = 0.307 \pm 0.068, $t_{10} = 4.511$, P < 0.001) and maximum continuous flight duration ($\beta \pm SE = 0.255 \pm 0.106$, $t_{10} = 2.395$, P = 0.039) increased with SST, and long trip duration tended to increase $(\beta \pm SE = 0.122 \pm 0.066, t_{11} = 1.857, P = 0.090)$. Little auks spent more time flying around the colony in cold years, with predicted differences as high as 11.2% (7.7 15.1%), reflecting higher colony attendance (Figures S3e and S4a). However, in mixed models, there was no overall effect of SST [0.022 (-0.332, 0.376)] or SIC [-0.037, (-0.363, 0.276)] on proportion foraging flight.

In addition, diving behavior changed with oceanic conditions. Little auks were predicted to spend as much as 15.7% (4.2, 26.8) more time deep rather than shallow diving in high SST years (Figures S3f and S4b). In mixed models, beta coefficient estimates were 0.293 (0.025, 0.555) and -0.143 (-0.246, -0.037) for SST and SIC, respectively, with proportion deep diving predicted to increase from 69.4 (57.3, 80.3) to 88.3% (78.9, 94.5) under the lowest (-0.9 °C) versus highest (7.4 °C) SST (Figure 2b). Deep dive ($F_6 =$ 15.01, P < 0.001) and interdive duration ($F_6 = 9.305$, P < 0.001) 0.001) were longest in high SST years (Tables S7, S8 and Figure S3g,h), although post-hoc comparisons were nonsignificant. However, the mixed model indicated that little auks made longer dives when SST was high ($\beta \pm SE = 2.194 \pm$ 0.797, $t_{41} = 3.246$, P = 0.002; Figure 2c). Moreover, foraging trip duration interacted with SST to predict deep dive



Figure 1. Little auk TABs across years at Ukaleqarteq, East Greenland. Relatively cold (blue; average SST < $2.5 \,^{\circ}$ C) and warm (red; average SST > $3.5 \,^{\circ}$ C) SST years are differentiated by color to facilitate visualization of differences in behavior associated with warmer conditions. Open triangles are predicted means and error bars represent 95% CIs. Colored points are observed values.



Figure 2. Little auk (a) TABs (points staggered to facilitate viewing), (b) proportion time deep vs shallow diving, and (c) deep dive duration at Ukaleqarteq, East Greenland, as a function of increasing SST, with year as a random effect. Shapes at the center of error bars are predicted means, and error bars represent 95% credible (a,b) or confidence (c) intervals. Circular red points in (b,c) are observed values. Confidence intervals around LMM predictions were derived using bootstrapping.

duration, with dives shorter on longer foraging trips when SST in the primary foraging range was high ($\beta \pm SE = -0.014 \pm 0.004$, $t_{397} = -2.966$, P = 0.003).

Chick provisioning rates (feeds/day) varied among years (F_4 = 2.929, P = 0.029), tending to be highest in cold years {e.g.

2019: [2.580 (2.114, 3.040)], 2020: 2.810 (2.116, 3.500)}, and lowest in warm years [e.g. 2021: 1.540 (0.688, 2.390); Figure S3i and Table S9]. However, *post-hoc* comparisons were nonsignificant (P > 0.169), and effects of SST ($\beta \pm SE =$ -0.131 ± 0.172 , $t_5 = -0.762$, P = 0.477) and SIC ($\beta \pm SE =$ Mercury Concentrations Unrelated to TABs but Associated with Dive Bout Patterning. TABs did not vary with blood Hg concentrations, irrespective of oceanic conditions. Beta coefficient estimates for Hg had 95% CIs overlapping zero in the year-comparison model (Figure S5). In the mixed model, there was no statistically significant effect of Hg on TABs, additively or in interaction with SST, with 95% CIs overlapping zero, and DICs higher than in models including SST alone. Hg concentrations were unrelated to foraging trip and flight durations (P > 0.20), proportion foraging-based flight [0.003 (-0.331, 0.357)], and proportion deep diving [1.027 (-2.663, 4.399)]. Little auk deep dive duration was unrelated to Hg concentrations ($\beta \pm SE = 0.637$ ± 0.797 , $t_{41} = 0.800$, P = 0.428), and interaction terms involving SST and SIC were nonsignificant (P > 0.05).

However, little auks with higher blood Hg concentrations increased interdive interval duration with dive duration more than less contaminated individuals, as reflected by a significant interaction between dive duration and Hg in predicting interdive interval duration ($\beta \pm SE = 6.704 \pm 2.991$, $t_{40} = 2.241$, P = 0.031; Figure 3). The same pattern held when



Figure 3. Interaction between dive duration and Hg concentrations in predicting interdive durations between deep dives for little auks at Ukaleqarteq. Open triangles are predicted values with 95% CIs for birds with Hg concentrations spanning the observed range. Closed points are observed values. Birds with higher Hg concentrations lengthened interdive intervals with dive duration whereas those with lower Hg concentrations did not. Confidence intervals around LMM predictions were derived using bootstrapping.

analyzing observations of every dive (and interdive) made by individuals, in sequence, rather than means (interaction: $\beta \pm$ SE = 1.980 \pm 0.244, t_{32350} = 8.120, P < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

Multiple stressor effects involving exposure to contaminants, such as Hg, are attracting growing concern in the context of rapid climate change.^{1,5,11} Yet, understanding of mechanisms underlying such effects remains limited. We addressed this deficit by exploring joint effects of climate change-associated environmental variables and Hg contamination on a keystone Arctic seabird's behavioral ecology. We hypothesized that warm oceanic conditions induce costly behavioral changes and that Hg exposure might exacerbate or inhibit behavioral

responses, generating interactive effects. Below we discuss evidence for these hypotheses and the implications of our findings.

TABs and Behavioral Performance Demands under Warm Conditions. Climate change is increasingly exposing little auks to warm oceanic conditions, which alter resource distribution.³³ Our data demonstrate that warm conditions reconfigure TABs in these sea-ice-adapted seabirds, reducing time resting at the colony and on ice and increasing time in flight and on water. Accelerometer data revealed that sea ice serves as an important resting substrate for little auks at Ukalegarteq in years with substantial SIC. Thus, loss of ice will likely reduce rest quality for little auks at sea, with energetic and health implications.^{65,66} Indeed, thermoregulatory costs are elevated for seabirds resting in water,65 although warming SST may reduce these costs.⁶⁷ A previous study could not differentiate the energetic costs of resting on water versus land or ice for little auks, but did not differentiate land versus ice.³⁶ Relative to costs of resting on ice, energetic costs of resting on land at the colony might be elevated by antipredator vigilance.⁶⁸ Little auks also reduced time resting at and flying around the colony during warm periods, further reducing rest and altering colony-based social dynamics, which are critically important in many seabirds.^{69,70}

As rest and social time decreased, foraging and behavioral performance demands increased. Little auks spent more time flying and made longer continuous flights under warmer conditions, suggesting an increase in the foraging range. Indeed, even when there was virtually no sea ice within the primary foraging range around Ukalegarteg, tagged birds spent some time on ice, with ice reached via prolonged flight (>2 h). Studies at other colonies suggest that little auks can fly >100 km to reach profitable foraging areas at marginal sea ice zones when foraging conditions are poor near colonies,⁷¹ and similar increases in foraging range also occur in other seabird species.⁷² Increased foraging range can maintain energy intake but decreases net energy return for central place foragers. Thus, foraging range size commonly correlates negatively with breeding performance in central place foragers, including little auks.^{73,74} Accordingly, little auk chick provisioning rates at Ukalegarteq tended to decline with warm conditions, when flight times were longest. Little auks at Ukaleqarteq also increased the proportion deep diving and dive duration with warm SST, likely reflecting altered copepod distribution.³³ Previous analyses suggest that energetic costs do not scale to dive duration in little auks³⁶ but utilized small sample sizes and did not consider dive depth, which could make identifying energetic patterns difficult. Longer dives might challenge physiological limitations by approaching aerobic dive capacity.^{75,76} Thus, results suggest that warm conditions force little auks at Ukalegarteg to adopt more energetically and physiologically demanding behavior, with rest reduced, activity increased, and behavioral performance demands intensified, at diminishing return.

Behavioral Correlates of Hg Contamination. We hypothesized that Hg exposure might reduce the capacity of birds to maintain behavioral performance or upregulate costly behavior given altered foraging landscapes under warm conditions. To the contrary, little auk Hg concentrations were not related to TABs. When compared to toxicological benchmarks for avian blood, ⁵² little auk blood Hg concentrations at Ukaleqarteq (which fall in the range of $0.2-1.0 \mu g/g$ ww) suggest low toxicological risk, despite recent increases in

Hg exposure, which could explain this result. However, a recent study on thick-billed murres (*Uria lomvia*) found interrelationships between thyroid hormone levels, time spent diving, and Hg exposure with only slightly higher total blood Hg (mean \pm SE = 1.51 \pm 0.05 μ g/g dw, or ~0.31 μ g/g ww, range: 0.81–2.74 μ g/g dw, or ~0.17–0.36 μ g/g ww). Murres with elevated blood Hg showed altered triiodothyronine (T3) levels when sea ice break-up was early, and murres were likely stressed due to poor foraging conditions, but not given more usual sea ice conditions. In turn, elevated T3 levels were associated with reduced time diving, suggesting that thyroidal dysregulation in Hg-exposed birds could affect activity budgets under stressful environmental conditions.¹⁴

Rather than affecting TABs, Hg exposure could instead alter behavioral performance traits or temporal patterning of behavior. Little auks with higher Hg concentrations exhibited changes in dive bout patterning, with interdive breaks lengthened when individuals were making long dives. Mercury exposure might cause physiological impairments, such as decreased oxygen carrying capacity,²² forcing dive bout restructuring as birds approach aerobic dive capacity. Mercury can interfere with heme-proteins including hemoglobin and myoglobin, which play critical roles in oxygen carrying capacity in blood and muscles, respectively.77,78 Indeed, Hg-contaminated common loons (Gavia immer) showed increased diving frequency, concurrent with reduced dive duration, suggesting reduced aerobic dive capacity.²² In contrast, little auks with higher Hg maintained dive duration but lengthened interdive breaks. Adjusting interdive durations might allow Hgcontaminated birds to perform long dives despite physiological impairments when long dives are essential to prey capture. However, lengthening breaks might eventually reduce time underwater or resting outside of dive bouts, with implications for foraging efficiency, digestion, and recovery. 49,79,80

Effects of Hg contamination on little auk diving behavior are predicted to increase with warming conditions. Indeed, when SST was high and SIC low, little auks at Ukaleqarteq spent more time deep diving and increased dive duration (Figure 2b,c). Thus, as Hg-contaminated birds increased interdive durations when making longer dives, Hg contamination might increasingly constrain diving patterns and foraging efficiency as SST increases with climate change. Moreover, changes in Hg exposure patterns due to climate change might also combine with foraging challenges to elevate toxicological effects on little auks and the ecosystems they underpin.²⁴

In conclusion, warm oceanic conditions affect TABs and finer scale behavior in little auks, a sea-ice-adapted Arctic seabird. Mercury exposure threatens to alter behavioral responses, generating climate change-by-contamination interactive effects. Mercury contamination was not correlated with TABs. However, birds with high Hg concentrations took longer interdive breaks when making long dives, suggesting physiological constraints. A next step will be exploring the nature of this constraint, for instance by measuring oxygen carrying capacity. As little auks engaged in longer dives given warm SST, subtle behavioral effects of Hg contamination threaten to increasingly constrain this keystone species' foraging efficiency in a warming Arctic, with ecosystem-wide repercussions.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.2c08893.

Additional statistical results, which were too extensive to include in the main text (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Andrea S. Grunst – Littoral, Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7266 CNRS-La Rochelle Université, La Rochelle FR-17000, France; orcid.org/0000-0001-5705-9845; Email: agrun001@ucr.edu, andrea.grunst@univ-lr.fr

Authors

- Melissa L. Grunst Littoral, Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7266 CNRS-La Rochelle Université, La Rochelle FR-17000, France
- David Grémillet CEFE, UMR 5175, CNRS—Université de Montpellier—Université Paul-Valéry Montpellier—EPHE, Montpellier 34090, France; Percy FitzPatrick Institute of African Ornithology, University of Cape Town, Cape Town 7701, South Africa
- Akiko Kato Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé (CEBC), UMR 7372 CNRS-La Rochelle Université, Villiers-en-Bois 79360, France
- Paco Bustamante Littoral, Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7266 CNRS-La Rochelle Université, La Rochelle FR-17000, France; Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), Paris 75005, France; © orcid.org/0000-0003-3877-9390
- Céline Albert Littoral, Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7266 CNRS-La Rochelle Université, La Rochelle FR-17000, France; O orcid.org/0000-0001-8220-0476
- Emile Brisson-Curadeau McGill University—Macdonald Campus, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec H9X 3V9, Canada
- Manon Clairbaux School of Biological, Environmental and Earth Sciences, University College Cork, Cork T23 N73K, Ireland; MaREI Centre for Energy, Climate and Marine, Environmental Research Institute, University College Cork, Cork P43 C573, Ireland
- Marta Cruz-Flores Littoral, Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7266 CNRS-La Rochelle Université, La Rochelle FR-17000, France
- Sophie Gentès Littoral, Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7266 CNRS-La Rochelle Université, La Rochelle FR-17000, France; orcid.org/0000-0002-5392-793X
- Samuel Perret CEFE, UMR 5175, CNRS—Université de Montpellier—Université Paul-Valéry Montpellier—EPHE, Montpellier 34090, France
- Eric Ste-Marie McGill University—Macdonald Campus, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec H9X 3V9, Canada
- Katarzyna Wojczulanis-Jakubas Department of Vertebrate Ecology and Zoology, University of Gdansk, Gdansk 80-308, Poland
- Jérôme Fort Littoral, Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7266 CNRS-La Rochelle Université, La Rochelle FR-17000, France

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c08893

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Data accessibility statement: data⁸¹ is available via the Zenodo community of European Commission Funded Research (OpenAIRE), doi:10.5281/zenodo.7185806, and via Dryad, doi:10.5061/dryad.dbrv15f4t.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge long-term support from the French Polar Institute (IPEV) to the ADACLIM program (388) administered by J.F. and D.G. We are grateful to Maud Brault-Favrou of the platform Analyses ELémentaires of LIENSs laboratory for assistance with Hg analyses and Clément Bertin for help with SIC data. Thanks also to the CPER (Contrat de Projet Etat-Région) and FEDER (Fonds Européen de Développement Régional) for funding the AMA of LIENSs laboratory and the Institut Universitaire de France (IUF) for supporting P.B. as a Senior Member. A.S.G., M.L.G., and M.C.F. were supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 programme (Marie Skłodowska-Curie grants 101025549, 896866, and 101024166), and CA was supported by a Ph.D. fellowship from the French Ministry of Higher Education and Research. We thank Nanu travel for facilitating field seasons, and the Northern Scientific Training Program of Canada for funding travel and providing logistical support. This work contributes to research projects ARCTIC-STRESSORS and ILETOP funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR-20-CE34-0006 and ANR-16-CE34-0005), the international ARCTOX initiative (arctox.cnrs.fr), the Excellence Chair ECOMM funded by the Region Nouvelle Aquitaine, and the OPUS13 funded by the Polish National Science Center (2017/25/B/NZ8/01417).

REFERENCES

(1) Noyes, P. D.; McElwee, M. K.; Miller, H. D.; Clark, B. W.; Van Tiem, L. A.; Walcott, K. C.; Erwin, K. N.; Levin, E. D. The toxicology of climate change: Environmental contaminants in a warming world. *Environ. Int.* **2009**, *35*, 971–986.

(2) Sherwood, S. C.; Huber, M. An adaptability limit to climate change due to heat stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2010, 107, 9552–9555.

(3) Bartley, T. J.; McCann, K. S.; Bieg, C.; Cazelles, K.; Granados, M.; Guzzo, M. M.; MacDougall, A. S.; Tunney, T. D.; McMeans, B. C. Food web rewiring in a changing world. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2019**, *3*, 345–354.

(4) Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., YelekçI, O., Yu, R., Zhou, B., Eds.; Cambridge University Press, 2021.

(5) Crain, C. M.; Kroeker, K.; Halpern, B. S. Interactive and cumulative effects of multiple human stressors in marine systems. *Ecol. Lett.* **2008**, *11*, 1304–1315.

(6) Orr, J. A.; Vinebrooke, R. D.; Jackson, M. C.; Kroeker, K. J.; Kordas, R. L.; Mantyka-Pringle, C.; Van den Brink, P. J.; De Laender, F.; Stoks, R.; Holmstrup, M.; Matthaei, C. D.; Monk, W. A.; Penk, M. R.; Leuzinger, S.; Schäfer, R. B.; Piggott, J. J. Towards a unified study of multiple stressors: divisions and common goals across research disciplines. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **2020**, *287*, 20200421.

(7) Tekin, E.; Diamant, E. S.; Cruz-Loya, M.; Enriquez, V.; Singh, N.; Savage, V. M.; Yeh, P. J. Using a newly introduced framework to

measure ecological stressor interactions. *Ecol. Lett.* **2020**, 23, 1391–1403.

(8) Cossa, D. Methylmercury manufacture. Nat. Geosci. 2013, 6, 810-811.

(9) Eagles-Smith, C. A.; Silbergeld, E. K.; Basu, N.; Bustamante, P.; Diaz-Barriga, F.; Hopkins, W. A.; Kidd, K. A.; Nyland, J. F. Modulators to mercury risk to wildlife and humans in the context of rapid global change. *Ambio* **2018**, *47*, 170–197.

(10) Schartup, A. T.; Thackray, C. P.; Qureshi, A.; Dassuncao, C.; Gillespie, K.; Hanke, A.; Sunderland, E. M. Climate change and overfishing increase neurotoxicant in marine predators. *Nature* **2019**, *572*, 648–650.

(11) Hooper, M. J.; Ankley, G. T.; Cristol, D. A.; Maryoung, L. A.; Noyes, P. D.; Pinkerton, K. E. Interactions between chemical and climate stressors: A role for mechanistic toxicology in assessing climate change risks. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2013**, *32*, 32–48.

(12) Pirotta, E.; Thomas, L.; Costa, D. P.; Hall, A. J.; Harris, C. M.; Harwood, J.; Kraus, S. D.; Miller, P. J. O.; Moore, M. J.; Photopoulou, T.; Rolland, R. M.; Schwacke, L.; Simmons, S. E.; Southall, B. L.; Tyack, P. L. Understanding the combined effects of multiple stressors: A new perspective on a longstanding challenge. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2022**, *821*, 153322.

(13) Turschwell, M. P.; Connolly, S. R.; Schäfer, R. B.; De Laender, F.; Campbell, M. D.; Mantyka-Pringle, C.; Jackson, M. C.; Kattwinkel, M.; Sievers, M.; Ashauer, R.; Côté, I. M.; Connolly, R. M.; Brink, P. J.; Brown, C. J. Interactive effects of multiple stressors vary with consumer interactions, stressor dynamics and magnitude. *Ecol. Lett.* **2022**, *25*, 1483–1496.

(14) Esparza, I.; Elliott, K. H.; Choy, E. S.; Braune, B. M.; Letcher, R. J.; Patterson, A.; Fernie, K. J. Mercury, legacy and emerging POPs, and endocrine-behavioural linkages: Implications of Arctic change in a diving seabird. *Environ. Res.* **2022**, *212*, 113190.

(15) Grémillet, D.; Welcker, J.; Karnovsky, N.; Walkusz, W.; Hall, M.; Fort, J.; Brown, Z.; Speakman, J. R.; Harding, A. M. A. Little auks buffer the impact of current Arctic climate change. *Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser.* **2012**, 454, 197–206.

(16) Atwood, T. C.; Peacock, E.; McKinney, M. A.; Lillie, K.; Wilson, R.; Douglas, D. C.; Miller, S.; Terletzky, P. Rapid environmental change drives increased land use by an arctic marine predator. *PloS ONE* **2016**, *11*, No. e0155932.

(17) Hall, L. E.; Chalfoun, A. D. Behavioural plasticity modulates temperature-related constraints on foraging time for a montane mammal. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **2019**, *88*, 363–375.

(18) Whitney, M. C.; Cristol, D. A. Impacts of Sublethal Mercury Exposure on Birds: A Detailed Review. *Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **2017**, 244, 113–163.

(19) Gerson, A. R.; Cristol, D. A.; Seewagen, C. L. Environmentally relevant methylmercury exposure reduces the metabolic scope of a model songbird. *Environ. Pollut.* **2019**, *246*, 790–796.

(20) Kobiela, M. E.; Cristol, D. A.; Swaddle, J. P. Risk-taking behaviours in zebra finches affected by mercury exposure. *Anim. Behav.* **2015**, *103*, 153–160.

(21) Bustnes, J. O.; Bourgeon, S.; Leat, E. H. K.; Magnusdóttir, E.; Strøm, H.; Hanssen, S. A.; Petersen, A.; Olafsdóttir, K.; Borgå, K.; Gabrielsen, G. W.; Furness, R. W. Multiple stressors in a top predator seabird: potential ecological consequences of environmental contaminants, population health and breeding conditions. *PloS ONE* **2015**, *10*, No. e0131769.

(22) Olsen, B.; Evers, D.; DeSorbo, C. Effect of methylated mercury on the diving frequency of the common loon. *J. Ecol. Res.* **2000**, *2*, 67–72.

(23) Krabbenhoft, D. P.; Sunderland, E. M. Global Change and Mercury. *Science* **2013**, *341*, 1457–1458.

(24) Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme 2021 AMAP Mercury Assessment. Summary for Policy-Makers; Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP): Tromsø, Norway, 2021; p 16. (25) Eamer, J.; Donaldson, G.; Gaston, T.; Kosobokova, K.; Lárusson, K. F.; Melnikov, I.; Reist, J. D.; Richardson, E.; Staples, L.; von Quillfeldt, C. H. Life Linked to Ice. A Guide to Sea-Ice Associated *Biodiversity in This Time of Rapid Change;* CAFF International Secretariat: Akureyri, Iceland, 2013; p 115. (CAFF Assessment Series, Vol. 10).

(26) Davidson, S. C.; Bohrer, G.; Gurarie, E.; LaPoint, S.; Mahoney, P. J.; Boelman, N. T.; Eitel, J. U. H.; Prugh, L. R.; Vierling, L. A.; Jennewein, J.; Grier, E.; Couriot, O.; Kelly, A. P.; Meddens, A. J. H.; Oliver, R. Y.; Kays, R.; Wikelski, M.; Aarvak, T.; Ackerman, J. T.; Alves, J. A.; Bayne, E.; Bedrosian, B.; Belant, J. L.; Berdahl, A. M.; Berlin, A. M.; Berteaux, D.; Bêty, J.; Boiko, D.; Booms, T. L.; Borg, B. L.; Boutin, S.; Boyd, W. S.; Brides, K.; Brown, S.; Bulyuk, V. N.; Burnham, K. K.; Cabot, D.; Casazza, M.; Christie, K.; Craig, E. H.; Davis, S. E.; Davison, T.; Demma, D.; DeSorbo, C. R.; Dixon, A.; Domenech, R.; Eichhorn, G.; Elliott, K.; Evenson, J. R.; Exo, K. M.; Ferguson, S. H.; Fiedler, W.; Fisk, A.; Fort, J.; Franke, A.; Fuller, M. R.; Garthe, S.; Gauthier, G.; Gilchrist, G.; Glazov, P.; Gray, C. E.; Grémillet, D.; Griffin, L.; Hallworth, M. T.; Harrison, A. L.; Hennin, H. L.; Hipfner, J. M.; Hodson, J.; Johnson, J. A.; Joly, K.; Jones, K.; Katzner, T. E.; Kidd, J. W.; Knight, E. C.; Kochert, M. N.; Kölzsch, A.; Kruckenberg, H.; Lagassé, B. J.; Lai, S.; Lamarre, J. F.; Lanctot, R. B.; Larter, N. C.; Latham, A. D. M.; Latty, C. J.; Lawler, J. P.; Léandri-Breton, D. J.; Lee, H.; Lewis, S. B.; Love, O. P.; Madsen, J.; Maftei, M.; Mallory, M. L.; Mangipane, B.; Markovets, M. Y.; Marra, P. P.; McGuire, R.; McIntyre, C. L.; McKinnon, E. A.; Miller, T. A.; Moonen, S.; Mu, T.; Müskens, G. J. D. M.; Ng, J.; Nicholson, K. L.; Øien, I. J.; Overton, C.; Owen, P. A.; Patterson, A.; Petersen, A.; Pokrovsky, I.; Powell, L. L.; Prieto, R.; Quillfeldt, P.; Rausch, J.; Russell, K.; Saalfeld, S. T.; Schekkerman, H.; Schmutz, J. A.; Schwemmer, P.; Seip, D. R.; Shreading, A.; Silva, M. A.; Smith, B. W.; Smith, F.; Smith, J. P.; Snell, K. R. S.; Sokolov, A.; Sokolov, V.; Solovyeva, D. V.; Sorum, M. S.; Tertitski, G.; Therrien, J. F.; Thorup, K.; Tibbitts, T. L.; Tulp, I.; Uher-Koch, B. D.; van Bemmelen, R. S. A.; Van Wilgenburg, S.; Von Duyke, A. L.; Watson, J. L.; Watts, B. D.; Williams, J. A.; Wilson, M. T.; Wright, J. R.; Yates, M. A.; Yurkowski, D. J.; Żydelis, R.; Hebblewhite, M. Ecological insights from three decades of animal movement tracking across a changing Arctic. Science 2020, 370, 712-715.

(27) Jakubas, D.; Wojczulanis-Jakubas, K.; Iliszko, L. M.; Strøm, H.; Stempniewicz, L. Habitat foraging niche of a High Arctic zooplanktivorous seabird in a changing environment. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 16203.

(28) Blanchet, M.-A.; Aars, J.; Andersen, M.; Routti, H. Space-use strategy affects energy requirements in Barents Sea polar bears. *Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser.* **2020**, *639*, 1–19.

(29) Fort, J.; Grémillet, D.; Traisnel, G.; Amélineau, F.; Bustamante, P. Does temporal variation of mercury levels in Arctic seabirds reflect changes in global environmental contamination, or a modification of Arctic marine food web functioning? *Environ. Pollut.* **2016**, *211*, 382–388.

(30) Morris, A. D.; Wilson, S. J.; Fryer, R. J.; Thomas, P. J.; Hudelson, K.; Andreasen, B.; Blévin, P.; Bustamante, P.; Chastel, O.; Christensen, G.; Dietz, R.; Evans, M.; Evenset, A.; Ferguson, S. H.; Fort, J.; Gamberg, M.; Grémillet, D.; Houde, M.; Letcher, R. J.; Loseto, L.; Muir, D.; Pinzone, M.; Poste, A.; Routti, H.; Sonne, C.; Stern, G.; Rigét, F. F. Temporal trends of mercury in Arctic biota: 10 more years of progress in Arctic monitoring. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2022**, 839, 155803.

(31) Tartu, S.; Blévin, P.; Bustamante, P.; Angelier, F.; Bech, C.; Bustnes, J. O.; Chierici, M.; Fransson, A.; Gabrielsen, G. W.; Goutte, A.; Moe, B.; Sauser, C.; Sire, J.; Barbraud, C.; Chastel, O. A U-turn for mercury concentrations over 20 years: how do environmental conditions affect exposure in Arctic seabirds? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2022**, *56*, 2443–2454.

(32) González-Bergonzoni, I.; Johansen, K. L.; Mosbech, A.; Landkildehus, F.; Jeppesen, E.; Davidson, T. A. Small birds, big effects: the little auk (Alle alle) transforms high Arctic ecosystems. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 2017, 284, 20162572.

(33) Amélineau, F.; Grémillet, D.; Harding, A. M. A.; Walkusz, W.; Choquet, R.; Fort, J. Arctic climate change and pollution impact little auk foraging and fitness across a decade. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 1014. (34) Ershova, E. A.; Kosobokova, K. N.; Banas, N. S.; Ellingsen, I.; Niehoff, B.; Hildebrandt, N.; Hirche, H. J. Sea ice decline drives biogeographical shifts of key Calanus species in the central Arctic Ocean. *Global Change Biol.* **2021**, *27*, 2128–2143.

(35) Gabrielsen, G. W.; Taylor, J. R. E.; Konarzewski, M.; Mehlum, F. Field and laboratory metabolism and thermoregulation in dovekies (Alle alle). *Auk* **1991**, *108*, 71–78.

(36) Ste-Marie, E.; Grémillet, D.; Fort, J.; Patterson, A.; Brisson-Curadeau, É.; Clairbaux, M.; Perret, S.; Speakman, J. R.; Elliott, K. H. Accelerating animal energetics: high dive costs in a small seabird disrupt the dynamic body acceleration – energy expenditure relationship. *J. Exp. Biol.* **2022**, *225*, jeb243252.

(37) Fort, J.; Robertson, G. J.; Grémillet, D.; Traisnel, G.; Bustamante, P. Spatial Ecotoxicology: Migratory Arctic Seabirds Are Exposed to Mercury Contamination While Overwintering in the Northwest Atlantic. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 11560–11567.

(38) Jakubas, D.; Wojczulanis, K. Predicting the sex of Dovekies by discriminant analysis. *Waterbirds* **2007**, *30*, 92–96.

(39) Wojczulanis-Jakubas, K.; Jakubas, D.; Stempniewicz, L. The Little Auk Alle alle: an ecological indicator of a changing Arctic and a model organism. *Polar Biol.* **2022**, *45*, 163–176.

(40) Konarzewski, M.; Taylor, J. R. E. The influence of weather conditions on growth of Little Auk Alle alle chicks. *Ornis Scand.* **1989**, 20, 112.

(41) Sakamoto, K. Q.; Sato, K.; Ishizuka, M.; Watanuki, Y.; Takahashi, A.; Daunt, F.; Wanless, S. Can Ethograms Be Automatically Generated Using Body Acceleration Data from Free-Ranging Birds? *PloS ONE* **2009**, *4*, No. e5379.

(42) Patterson, A.; Gilchrist, H. G.; Chivers, L.; Hatch, S.; Elliott, K. A comparison of techniques for classifying behavior from accelerometers for two species of seabird. *Ecol. Evol.* **2019**, *9*, 3030–3045.

(43) Wilson, R. P.; Börger, L.; Holton, M. D.; Scantlebury, D. M.; Gómez-Laich, A.; Quintana, F.; Rosell, F.; Graf, P. M.; Williams, H.; Gunner, R.; Hopkins, L.; Marks, N.; Geraldi, N. R.; Duarte, C. M.; Scott, R.; Strano, M. S.; Robotka, H.; Eizaguirre, C.; Fahlman, A.; Shepard, E. L. C. Estimates for energy expenditure in free-living animals using acceleration proxies: A reappraisal. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **2020**, 89, 161–172.

(44) Wojczulanis-Jakubas, K.; Wąż, P.; Jakubas, D. Little auks under the midnight sun: diel activity rhythm of a small diving seabird during the Arctic summer. *Polar Res.* **2020**, *39*, 3309.

(45) Welcker, J.; Harding, A. M. A.; Karnovsky, N. J.; Steen, H.; Strøm, H.; Gabrielsen, G. W. Flexibility in the bimodal foraging strategy of a high Arctic alcid, the little auk Alle alle. *J. Avian Biol.* **2009**, *40*, 388–399.

(46) Brown, Z. W.; Welcker, J.; Harding, A. M. A.; Walkusz, W.; Karnovsky, N. Divergent diving behaviour during short and long trips of a bimodal forager, the little auk (Alle alle). *J. Avian Biol.* **2012**, *43*, 215–226.

(47) Jakubas, D.; Wojczulanis-Jakubas, K.; Iliszko, L.; Darecki, M.; Stempniewicz, L. Foraging strategy of the little auk Alle alle throughout breeding season –switch from unimodal to bimodal pattern. J. Avian Biol. **2014**, 45, 551–560.

(48) Luque, S. P. Diving Behaviour Analysis in R. R News 2007, 7, 8–14.

(49) Elliott, K. H.; Davoren, G.; Gaston, A. J. Time allocation by a deep-diving bird reflects prey type and energy gain. *Anim. Behav.* **2008**, 75, 1301–1310.

(50) Bustamante, P.; Lahaye, V.; Durnez, C.; Churlaud, C.; Caurant, F. Total and organic Hg concentrations in cephalopods from the North Eastern Atlantic waters: Influence of geographical origin and feeding ecology. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2006**, *368*, 585–596.

(51) Evers, D. C.; Burgess, N. M.; Champoux, L.; Hoskins, B.; Major, A.; Goodale, W. M.; Taylor, R. J.; Poppenga, R.; Daigle, T. Patterns and interpretation of mercury exposure in freshwater avian communities in northeastern north America. *Ecotoxicology* **2005**, *14*, 193–221.

(52) Chastel, O.; Fort, J.; Ackerman, J. T.; Albert, C.; Angelier, F.; Basu, N.; Blévin, P.; Brault-Favrou, M.; Bustnes, J. O.; Bustamante, P.; Danielsen, J.; Descamps, S.; Dietz, R.; Erikstad, K. E.; Eulaers, I.; Ezhov, A.; Fleishman, A. B.; Gabrielsen, G. W.; Gavrilo, M.; Gilchrist, G.; Gilg, O.; Gíslason, S.; Golubova, E.; Goutte, A.; Grémillet, D.; Hallgrimsson, G. T.; Hansen, E. S.; Hanssen, S. A.; Hatch, S.; Huffeldt, N. P.; Jakubas, D.; Jónsson, J. E.; Kitaysky, A. S.; Kolbeinsson, Y.; Krasnov, Y.; Letcher, R. J.; Linnebjerg, J. F.; Mallory, M.; Merkel, F. R.; Moe, B.; Montevecchi, W. J.; Mosbech, A.; Olsen, B.; Orben, R. A.; Provencher, J. F.; Ragnarsdottir, S. B.; Reiertsen, T. K.; Rojek, N.; Romano, M.; Søndergaard, J.; Strøm, H.; Takahashi, A.; Tartu, S.; Thórarinsson, T. L.; Thiebot, J. B.; Will, A. P.; Wilson, S.; Wojczulanis-Jakubas, K.; Yannic, G. Mercury contamination and potential health risks to Arctic seabirds and shorebirds. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2022**, *844*, 156944.

(53) Ackerman, J. T.; Eagles-Smith, C. A.; Herzog, M. P.; Hartman, C. A.; Peterson, S. H.; Evers, D. C.; Jackson, A. K.; Elliott, J. E.; Vander Pol, S. S.; Bryan, C. E. Avian mercury exposure and toxicological risk across western North America: A synthesis. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *568*, 749–769.

(54) Karnovsky, N.; Harding, A.; Walkusz, W.; Kwasniewski, S.; Goszczko, I.; Wiktor, J.; Routti, H.; Bailey, A.; McFadden, L.; Brown, Z.; Beaugrand, G.; Grémillet, D. Foraging distributions of little auks Alle alle across the Greenland Sea: implications of present and future Arctic climate change. *Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser.* **2010**, *415*, 283–293.

(55) Amélineau, F.; Grémillet, D.; Bonnet, D.; Le Bot, T.; Fort, J. Where to Forage in the Absence of Sea Ice? Bathymetry as a key factor for an Arctic seabird. *PloS ONE* **2016**, *11*, No. e0157764.

(56) Spreen, G.; Kaleschke, L.; Heygster, G. Sea ice remote sensing using AMSR-E 89-GHz channels. J. Geophys. Res. 2008, 113, C02S03.

(57) R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing; R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria, 2021. https://www.R-project.org/.

(58) Lenth, R. V. Emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, Aka Least-Squares Means, R package version 1.4.3.01, 2019. https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=emmeans.

(59) Bates, D.; Mächler, M.; Bolker, B.; Walker, S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* **2015**, *67*, 1–48.

(60) Kuznetsova, A.; Brockhoff, P. B.; Christensen, R. H. B. ImerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. *J. Stat. Softw.* **201**7, *82*, 1–26.

(61) Regular, P. M.; Hedd, A.; Montevecchi, W. A.; Robertson, G. J.; Storey, A. E.; Walsh, C. J. Why timing is everything: Energetic costs and reproductive consequences of resource mismatch for a chickrearing seabird. *Ecosphere* **2014**, *5*, art155.

(62) Su, Y.; Yajima, M. *R2jags: Using R to Run "JAGS"*, R package version 0.7-1, 2021. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=R2jags.

(63) Douma, J. C.; Weedon, J. T. Analysing continuous proportions in ecology and evolution: A practical introduction to beta and Dirichlet regression. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **2019**, *10*, 1412–1430.

(64) Maier, M. J. *DirichletReg: Dirichlet Regression*, R package version 0.7-1, 2021. https://github.com/maiermarco/DirichletReg.

(65) Lovvorn, J. R.; Grebmeier, J. M.; Cooper, L. W.; Bump, J. K.; Richman, S. E. Modeling marine protected areas for threatened eiders in a climatically changing Bering Sea. *Ecol. Appl.* **2009**, *19*, 1596– 1613.

(66) Siegel, J. M. Sleep viewed as a state of adaptive inactivity. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **2009**, *10*, 747–753.

(67) Elliott, K. H.; Le Vaillant, M.; Kato, A.; Gaston, A. J.; Ropert-Coudert, Y.; Hare, J. F.; Speakman, J. R.; Croll, D. Age-related variation in energy expenditure in a long-lived bird within the envelope of an energy ceiling. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **2014**, *83*, 136–146.

(68) Wojczulanis, K.; Jakubas, D.; Stempniewicz, L. Changes in the Glaucous Gull predation pressure on Little Auks in southwest Spitsbergen. *Waterbirds* **2005**, *28*, 430–435.

(69) Grissot, A.; Araya-Salas, M.; Jakubas, D.; Kidawa, D.; Boehnke, R.; Błachowiak-Samołyk, K.; Wojczulanis-Jakubas, K. Parental Coordination of Chick Provisioning in a Planktivorous Arctic Seabird Under Divergent Conditions on Foraging Grounds. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **2019**, 7, 349. (70) Courbin, N.; Chinho, T.; Pichegru, L.; Verma-Grémillet, A.; Péron, C.; Ryan, P. G.; Grémillet, D. The dance of the Cape gannet may contain social information on foraging behaviour. *Anim. Behav.* **2020**, *166*, 95–108.

(71) Jakubas, D.; Iliszko, L.; Wojczulanis-Jakubas, K.; Stempniewicz, L. Foraging by little auks in the distant marginal sea ice zone during the chick-rearing period. *Polar Biol.* **2012**, *35*, 73–81.

(72) Fayet, A. L.; Clucas, G. V.; Anker-Nilssen, T.; Syposz, M.; Hansen, E. S. Local prey shortages drive foraging costs and breeding success in a declining seabird, the Atlantic puffin. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **2021**, *90*, 1152–1164.

(73) Boersma, P. D.; Rebstock, G. A. Foraging distance affects reproductive success in Magellanic penguins. *Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser.* **2009**, 375, 263–275.

(74) Jakubas, D.; Trudnowska, E.; Wojczulanis-Jakubas, K.; Iliszko, L.; Kidawa, D.; Darecki, M.; Błachowiak-Samołyk, K.; Stempniewicz, L. Foraging closer to the colony leads to faster growth in little auks. *Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser.* **2013**, *489*, 263–278.

(75) Kooyman, G. L.; Ponganis, P. J. The physiological basis of diving to depth: birds and mammals. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **1998**, 60, 19–32.

(76) Williams, C. L.; Meir, J. U.; Ponganis, P. J. What triggers the aerobic dive limit? Patterns of muscle oxygen depletion during dives of emperor penguins. *J. Exp. Biol.* **2011**, *214*, 1802–1812.

(77) Piscopo, M.; Notariale, R.; Tortora, F.; Lettieri, G.; Palumbo, G.; Manna, C. Novel Insights into Mercury Effects on Hemoglobin and Membrane Proteins in Human Erythrocytes. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 3278.

(78) Alazoumi, K. K. M.; Ahmed, A.; Alamery, S. F.; Shamsi, A.; Ahmad, B.; Islam, A.; Farooqi, H. Effect of Antioxidants on Heavy Metals Induced Conformational Alteration of Cytochrome C and Myoglobin. *Protein Pept. Lett.* **2021**, *28*, 31–42.

(79) Auer, S. K.; Salin, K.; Anderson, G. J.; Metcalfe, N. B. Aerobic scope explains individual variation in feeding capacity. *Biol. Lett.* **2015**, *11*, 20150793.

(80) Enstipp, M. R.; Bost, C.-A.; Le Bohec, C.; Bost, C.; Laesser, R.; Le Maho, Y.; Weimerskirch, C.; Handrich, R.; Le Maho, Y.; Weimerskirch, H.; Handrich, Y. The dive performance of immature king penguins following their annual molt suggests physiological constraints. J. Exp. Biol. **2019**, 222, jeb208900.

(81) Grunst, A. S.; Grunst, M. L.; Grémillet, D.; Kato, A.; Bustamante, P.; Albert, C.; Brisson-Curadeau, É.; Clairbaux, M.; Cruz-Flores, M.; Gentès, S.; Perret, S.; Ste-Marie, E.; Wojczulanis-Jakubas, K.; Fort, J. Data for: Mercury Contamination Challenges the Behavioural Response of a Keystone Species to Arctic Climate Change, 2022. Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.7185806. Dryad: 10.5061/dryad.dbrv15f4t.