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ABSTRACT

Concentrations of 6 trace metals (Ag, Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn) in the muscle of 2 sharks (Galeus melastomus and Scyliorhinus canicula), 4 teleosts (Helicolenus dactylopterus, Lepidorhombus bosci, Micromesistius poutassou and Phycis bliennoides) and 1 crustacean (Nephrops norvegicus) were compared between the Bay of Biscay (Atlantic Ocean) and the Gulf of Lions (Mediterranean Sea). Although average concentrations and the trace element pollution index were generally higher in the Gulf of Lions, significant differences between the two ecosystems were only found for Zn for Helicolenus dactylopterus, and for Ag and Cu for the crustacean N. norvegicus. Moreover, some relationships between trophic level or size and metal concentrations were found for these two species. The absence of clear pattern may result from the blurring effect of contamination and excretion that may act differentially for all species and all elements.

Trace metals are naturally present in the Earth crust and as such they are natural components of the marine environment (Bryan, 1971; Mason, 2013). They differ fundamentally in their metabolic role and their regulation by aquatic organisms (Amiard et al., 1987). Some trace metals such as copper (Cu) or zinc (Zn) are involved in essential metabolic purposes including functional and structural role. These essential trace metals are generally regulated by aquatic organisms. On the contrary, trace metals such as cadmium (Cd) or lead (Pb) are not essential for marine life (i.e. no known biological role) and need to be detoxified or excreted when absorbed (Rainbow, 2002). Whether essential or non-essential, they become toxic beyond a certain threshold (Amiard et al., 1987). Since marine organisms are able to accumulate trace metals, some of them like fish are sometimes considered relatively good semi-quantitative bioindicators, reflecting the occurrence and bioavailability of contaminants in areas where they live (Raknuzzaman et al., 2016). However, trace metal concentrations in marine organisms result of a balance between their uptake and excretion processes (Gobert et al., 2017; Guven et al., 1999). Bioaccumulation, namely the capacity of an organism to concentrate a trace metal in its tissues, depends on the uptake from water by direct contact (e.g. through epidermis, gills, digestive tract) but also from diet (e.g. Mathews and Fisher, 2009; Xu and Wang, 2002). Therefore, an accurate knowledge of the organic matter sources fueling food webs and their associated contamination level is crucial to understand organisms’ contamination (Hall et al., 1997). Moreover, several biological factors such as length or age can affect diet (Chouvelon et al., 2014), and consequently affect potential dietary inputs of trace metals for consumers, in addition to the fact that the age of organisms directly influences their chronic exposure to contaminants (Cresson et al., 2015). Fish bioaccumulation pattern is thus a complex process that can vary according to food resources, feeding habitats, and metabolic activity of species, as well as according to the physical/chemical conditions of water column that can directly affect the bioavailability of trace metals.

In addition, some trace metals such as mercury (Hg) are well-known for their biomagnification property, namely the trend for increasing concentrations along food webs (Bryan et al., 1979). This process in particular is responsible for the high trace metal concentrations generally observed in high trophic level species such as marine predators or long-lived species (Endo et al., 2008), which implies a high toxicological hazard for humans when consuming top predator organisms.
like piscivorous fishes (Damiano et al., 2011). This high hazard linked with Hg in marine systems drove a major research effort on this metal, in order to understand the influence of abiotic (e.g. habitats of species; Chouvelon et al., 2012) and biotic factors (e.g. fish growth, trophic functioning of ecosystems; Chouvelon et al., 2018; Cossa et al., 2012; Cresson et al., 2014) on Hg bioaccumulation in marine organisms, especially in commercial species. As such, several authors evidenced and partly explained the “Mediterranean Hg anomaly”, i.e. the fact that species in the Mediterranean have higher Hg concentration than their counterparts from other environments (Cossa et al., 2012; Cossa and Coquery, 2005). Contrary to Hg, such spatial differences for other trace metals were only studied for few species such as the deep-water shark Galeus melastomus (Hornung et al., 1993) or the pelagic swordfish Xiphias gladius (Damiano et al., 2011).

In this context, this study aimed to document and compare the bioaccumulation of trace metals (other than Hg) in the muscle of several common species with commercial interest between the Bay of Biscay (BoB) in the north-eastern Atlantic Ocean and the Gulf of Lions (GoL) in the north-western Mediterranean Sea. An original approach is reported in this study, relying on an interspecific comparison between several taxa including crustacean species, chondrichthyan and teleost fish, but also on the comparison of bioaccumulation patterns between essential and non-essential trace metals.

Samples were collected during two bottom trawling surveys operated by the French institute for the exploitation of the sea (Ifremer), MEDITS in the GoL in 2012 (Jadaud and Metral, 2012) and EVHOE (Leaute et al., 2008) in the BoB in 2008 (Fig. 1). These two ecosystems have been chosen for their differences in terms of trophic status (i.e. mesotrophy vs. oligotrophy; Liéart et al., 2017) but also in terms of probable contamination, since the BoB is open onto the Atlantic Ocean while the GoL is part of the Mediterranean semi-enclosed sea. In addition, both ecosystems are major areas for fisheries, for which trace metal concentrations in commercial species may be of concern. Finally, they are two out the four French marine subregions considered and monitored by the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive. The studied species included one crustacean species – the Norway lobster (Nephrops norvegicus, Linnaeus, 1758) -, two sharks – the black-mouthed dogfish (Galeus melastomus, Rafinesque, 1810) and the lesser spotted dogfish (Scyliorhinus canicula, Linnaeus, 1758) -, and four teleost fish – the blackbelly rosefish (Helicolenus dactylopterus, Delaroche, 1809), the four-spot megrim (Lepidorhombus boscii, Risso, 1810), the blue whiting (Micromesistius poutassou, Risso, 1827) and the greater forkbeard (Phycis blennoides, Brünich, 1768). These species are largely present on the shelf-edge in both ecosystems, allowing direct comparisons. They were chosen based on their importance in the communities of both systems, their relatively high trophic position (Papiol et al., 2013) and their commercial interest. Individuals have been collected at depth ranging between 284 and 816 m in the GoL and between 47 and 511 m in the BoB (Table 1). Individuals were stored frozen on board and kept frozen until dissection. At the laboratory, individuals were thawed and measured to the nearest mm (cephalothorax length for N. norvegicus and total length for other species). Two muscle samples without skin and bones were taken on all individuals for isotopic (i.e. carbon and nitrogen stable isotope ratios) and trace metal analyses. For sharks and teleosts, the white dorsal muscle was used for analyses whereas for N. norvegicus, the abdominal muscle was taken out. Due to the amount of matter needed for the analysis of trace metal concentrations (~200 mg of dry muscle tissue), several small individuals with similar parameters (sampling site, sex and size) were pooled. Mean length and isotopic ratios were used for these pools of individuals (indicated in Table 1). All
Table 1
Sample description according to ecosystems (Gulf of Lions (GoL) and Bay of Biscay (BoB)) and species, with the sample number (N), the sampling depth range, the total (fish) or cephalothorax (crustacean) length range, and the trophic level range. The number of pools included in the total sample number is indicated between brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem &amp; species</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Depth (m) Mean (min-max)</th>
<th>Length (mm) Mean (min-max)</th>
<th>Trophic level Mean (min-max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NW Mediterranean Sea (GoL) Chondrichthians</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>502 ± 190 (284-816)</td>
<td>426 ± 83 (265-558)</td>
<td>4.17 ± 0.28 (3.77-4.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondrichthians Fishes</td>
<td>21 (6)</td>
<td>470 ± 163 (284-665)</td>
<td>473 ± 56 (369-569)</td>
<td>4.25 ± 0.11 (4.0-4.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teleost Fishes</td>
<td>20 (7)</td>
<td>445 ± 146 (284-426)</td>
<td>228 ± 52 (222-311)</td>
<td>3.68 ± 0.32 (3.35-3.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>426 ± 0 (426-426)</td>
<td>41 ± 8 (30-50)</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.07 (2.84-3.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE Atlantic Ocean (BoB) Chondrichthians</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>289 ± 109 (47-337)</td>
<td>606 ± 72 (500-720)</td>
<td>4.35 ± 0.14 (4.06-4.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondrichthians Fishes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>126.00 ± 4 (122-130)</td>
<td>579 ± 30 (530-630)</td>
<td>4.45 ± 0.13 (4.25-4.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teleost Fishes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>492 ± 0 (492-492)</td>
<td>370 ± 20 (340-400)</td>
<td>4.09 ± 0.06 (4.01-4.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>128 ± 0 (128-128)</td>
<td>302 ± 28 (260-340)</td>
<td>3.31 ± 0.04 (3.25-3.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE Atlantic Ocean (BoB) Chondrichthians</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>239 ± 154 (109-511)</td>
<td>250 ± 48 (200-230)</td>
<td>3.91 ± 0.12 (3.65-4.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>259 ± 168 (124-461)</td>
<td>510 ± 60 (440-580)</td>
<td>4.04 ± 0.13 (3.9-4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE Atlantic Ocean (BoB) Chondrichthians</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60 ± 0 (60-60)</td>
<td>64 ± 6 (57-74)</td>
<td>2.77 ± 0.07 (2.65-2.85)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

muscle samples were stored frozen, before freeze-drying and grinding.

Concentrations of three essential trace metals: copper (Cu), nickel (Ni) and zinc (Zn), and three non-essentials: silver (Ag), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), were measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS; Thermo X-Series I), after several steps of sample preparation. Briefly, subsamples (~200 mg) of homogenized dry muscle tissue were digested with a mixture of 3.5 mL HNO₃ and 5 mL HCl Suprapur quality, and then heated in a microwave oven. After the mineralization process, each sample was completed to 50 mL with milli-Q quality water, and a known quantity of internal standard (indium) was added before analysis. Samples were re-diluted when necessary before analyses. Trace metal analyses were run according to a thorough quality control program including the analysis of Certified Reference Materials (CRMs): DORM-3 and DORM-4 (fish protein, National Research Council Canada), and NIST-2976 (mussel tissue, National Institute of Standards and Technology USA). CRMs were analyzed in the same conditions as the samples and results were in good agreement with the certified values. Limits of quantification (LQ) for Ag, Cu, Cd, Ni, Pb, and Zn were 0.05, 2.5, 0.05, 0.25, 0.05 and 15 μg·g⁻¹ dry weight, respectively. Sample concentrations are given with two significant figures.

Isotopic analyses were performed on a small subsample of grinded muscle with a Thermo Scientific Delta V Advantage mass spectrometer coupled to a Thermo Scientific Flash EA1112 elemental analyzer. The results are presented in the classical δ notation, δX = (Rsample / Rstandard - 1) × 10⁶ where X is 13C or 15N and R the ratio between heavy and light isotopes. Standard is Pee Dee Belemnite for δ13C and atmospheric nitrogen for δ15N. Based on replicate measurements of internal laboratory standards, the experimental precision was < 0.20‰ for both δ13C and δ15N.

The trophic level of each individual i was calculated from isotope values, with the following formula, TII = TII baseline + (δ15Nsample - δ15Nbaseline) / TEF (TEF: Trophic Enrichment Factor, i.e. the δ15N change between two consecutive trophic levels) was set to 2.3 for sharks, 3.2 for teleosts and 3.4 for N. norvegicus in both ecosystems. These values were consistent with literature and results of dedicated experiments, as reviewed by Chouvelon et al. (2012). Regarding the trophic baseline, most recent approaches recommend using primary consumers rather than primary producers, as isotopic ratios of primary producers may be highly variable, whereas primary consumers (i.e. consumers at theoretical trophic level 2) provide a time-integrated information on the organic matter sources actually incorporated in food webs. Jennings and van der Molen, 2015; Trueman et al., 2017). In the Gulf of Lions, the mean value measured for 200-300 μm zooplankton by Espinasse et al. (2014) was used as the trophic baseline (i.e. δ15Nbaseline = 3.69‰). Indeed, previous studies demonstrated that shelf-edge Mediterranean food webs are based on falling phytoplanktonic production (Cresson et al., 2014), justifying the use of a pelagic trophic baseline for the Mediterranean organisms considered here. In the BoB, the scallop Pecten maximus was used as the trophic baseline, consistently with the formula developed by Chouvelon et al. (2012) for this ecosystem, to correct for the onshore-offshore effect on the consumers’ isotopic ratios (δ15Nbaseline = 33.47 ± 1.556 × δ15Nconsumer).

The relationships of metal concentrations with length and trophic level were investigated by a linear model including these continuous variables as two potential biological factors driving bioaccumulation and biomagnification, respectively. This analysis allowed to explain both potentially large inter-individual variability but also to avoid bias in the measure of the ecosystem effect. The later was added in the model as factor as well as the interaction with length and trophic level. The model was reduced by a bidirectional elimination procedure based on the Akaike information criterion (AIC) (Borcard et al., 2011). In order to determine active factors, signification of effects in the reduced model was tested by F-tests between nested models respecting marginality of the effects (Fox and Weisberg, 2011). In the case where the size was correlated with the trophic level (as tested by the Pearson correlation coefficient test), only the total length was included in the model. Only trace elements for which > 70% of the concentrations were above the LQ have been modeled.

In addition, in order to complete the approach element by element, an index of global contamination was calculated from all element concentrations including concentration above the LQ. The Trace Element Pollution Index (TEPI) proposed by Richir and Gobert (2014) has been chosen because this index allows a reliable comparison of global trace element contamination between environments, whatever the studied trace element. The TEPI values were calculated for each studied species and each environment as follow: TEPI = (CfX / CFREFX)¹/n where CFX is the mean normalized concentration (individual concentration divided by the mean concentration) of the trace element n. All statistical analyses were performed to the error threshold of 5%, using the “car” (Fox and Weisberg, 2011) “MASS” (Venables and Ripley, 2002) and “PMCMR” (Pohlert, 2014) packages in the statistical environment R Core Team (2017).

Overall, this study provides baseline information on the concentrations of some trace metals, both essential (Cu, Ni, Zn) and non-essential (Ag, Cd, Pb), in several marine species from the GoL and the BoB (Table 2). The results are consistent with the pattern of low metal
concentrations (metals other than Hg) usually found in the muscle tissue, in comparison with other tissues/organisms such as liver or kidneys (Canli and Atlı, 2003; Dural et al., 2006; El-Moselhy et al., 2014; Endo et al., 2008; Long and Wang, 2005; Mormede and Davies, 2001). Consistently, in the present study, all muscle samples from teleosts and sharks (i.e. fish) displayed Ag and Cd concentrations below the quantification limits (Table 2). In general, gills, intestinal viscera, liver and kidneys contain relatively high metal burden, indicating that they likely constitute major organs for metal uptake (gills and intestinal viscera) and final deposition or excretion (liver, kidneys) (Xu and Wang, 2002). Trace element accumulation indeed differs according to organs and as such, Cd tends to be preferentially accumulated in liver and kidney, owing to higher levels of metallothioneins (chelating proteins) that act as sites for Cd storage in order to protect fish from cellular metal toxicity (Dural et al., 2006; Endo et al., 2008). Consequently, higher Cd concentrations are generally observed in the liver than in the muscle in fish (Eisler, 2010). Similarly, Ag concentrations in intestinal viscera were shown to be higher than in muscles in the marine fish, Terragon jarbua, for instance (Long and Wang, 2005). Here, the muscle was chosen as the edible part mostly consumed in marine organisms, and thus hazardous if metal contamination is high. In the present study, any sample has metal concentrations above the food safety thresholds determined by the European commission regulation, i.e. 0.05 μg g⁻¹ wet mass and 0.5 μg g⁻¹ dry mass for Cd in fish and crustaceans respectively, 0.3 μg g⁻¹ wet mass and 0.5 μg g⁻¹ dry mass for Pb in fish and crustaceans respectively (EC, 2006). This corresponds to threshold values of 0.25 μg g⁻¹ dry mass for Cd in fish and 2.5 μg g⁻¹ dry mass for Cd in crustaceans, 1.5 μg g⁻¹ dry mass for Pb in fish and 2.5 μg g⁻¹ dry mass in crustaceans, if an empirical wet to dry conversion factor of 5 is used.

Within a given ecosystem, significant differences between species were observed (Fig. 2). In addition, the species-dependent pattern was similar between both ecosystems. The highest concentrations were observed for the crustacean N. norvegicus, especially for Ag, Cd, and Cu. Among fish, the flatfish L. bosci had the highest mean Cu and Pb concentrations (despite high standard deviations), and the shark S. canicula had the highest Zn concentrations. These results are in agreement with Younis et al. (2015) who showed higher Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn concentrations in the muscle of 3 crustaceans such as the spiny lobster Panulirus penicillatus (same suborder that N. norvegicus) than in the muscle of 10 demersal fish species in the Red Sea. The high values of Cu and Zn for the studied crustacean appear driven by the specific metabolism of this taxon. In crustaceans, Zn is a key component of many enzymes such as carboxic anhydrase, and Cu is a functional part of the respiratory protein hemocyanin (Rainbow, 2002). As such, hemolymph, the circulatory liquid of crustaceans is Cu-rich and represents > 50% of

Table 2
Mean metal concentrations taking account of values < LQ (two significant figures) with standard deviation (μg g⁻¹ dry mass) in the muscle of the studied species from the Gulf of Lions (GoL) and the Bay of Biscay (BoB). The Trace Element Pollution Index (TEPI) calculated from the same data set is also indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem &amp; species</th>
<th>Ag Mean ± sd (N &lt; LQAg) (min-max)</th>
<th>Cd Mean ± sd (N &lt; LQCd) (min-max)</th>
<th>Cu Mean ± sd (N &lt; LQCu) (min-max)</th>
<th>Ni Mean ± sd (N &lt; LQNi) (min-max)</th>
<th>Pb Mean ± sd (N &lt; LQPb) (min-max)</th>
<th>Zn Mean ± sd (N &lt; LQZn) (min-max)</th>
<th>TEPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NW Mediterranean Sea (GoL) Chondrichthyan fishes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galeus melastomus</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.007 (21) (0.000-0.020)</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.006 (21) (0.000-0.020)</td>
<td>2.3 ± 2.3 (16) (0.8-10.5)</td>
<td>0.92 ± 1.76 (14) (0.02-7.80)</td>
<td>0.056 ± 0.068 (16) (0.020-0.260)</td>
<td>15.6 ± 1.6 (10) (13.0-20.5)</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scyliorhinus canicula</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.010 (10) (0.000-0.030)</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.007 (10) (0.000-0.020)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.7 (9) (0.8-2.6)</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.10 (9) (0.02-0.36)</td>
<td>0.034 ± 0.016 (8) (0.020-0.060)</td>
<td>31.5 ± 16.8 (3) (12.1-60.3)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teleost fishes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicolenus dactylopterus</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.006 (20) (0.000-0.030)</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.008 (20) (0.000-0.030)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.8 (17) (0.6-3.2)</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.67 (10) (0.03-2.47)</td>
<td>0.044 ± 0.030 (15) (0.020-0.120)</td>
<td>18.7 ± 2.7 (2) (13.3-25.1)</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidorhombus bosci</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.004 (6) (0.000-0.010)</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.005 (6) (0.000-0.010)</td>
<td>3.5 ± 3.9 (3) (0.7-10.6)</td>
<td>1.61 ± 2.27 (2) (0.09-5.77)</td>
<td>0.182 ± 0.221 (3) (0.010-0.580)</td>
<td>16.8 ± 3.3 (2) (14.5-23.5)</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micromesistius poutassou</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.003 (12) (0.000-0.010)</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.005 (12) (0.000-0.010)</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.0 (8) (0.3-4.66)</td>
<td>0.96 ± 1.53 (4) (0.03-0.900)</td>
<td>0.028 ± 0.032 (9) (0.000-0.900)</td>
<td>18.4 ± 1.2 (0) (16-20.5)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physic blennoides</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.008 (20) (0.000-0.040)</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.003 (20) (0.000-0.010)</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.7 (18) (0.7-3.9)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.31 (16) (0.30-1.01)</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.020 (17) (0.000-0.070)</td>
<td>14.8-1.4 (12) (12.3-18.2)</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE Atlantic Ocean (BoB)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrops norvegicus</td>
<td>1.060 ± 0.477 (0) (0.530-1.640)</td>
<td>0.336 ± 0.266 (1) (0.030-0.630)</td>
<td>61.9 ± 25.1 (0) (30.2-93.1)</td>
<td>0.86 ± 1.29 (2) (0.12-3.15)</td>
<td>0.138 ± 0.136 (1) (0.040-0.350)</td>
<td>62.1 ± 9.3 (0) (49.9-75.2)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

< LQ: under limit of quantification, LQAg = 2.5, LQCd = 0.25, LQZn = 15, LQAg = LQCd = LQZn = 0.05 μg g⁻¹ dry mass.
The Cu in crustaceans, being also fairly constant with the exception of starvation periods (Depledge and Bjerregaard, 1989). Similarly, high Zn values measured in the muscle of *S. canicula* are also consistent with previous studies. Indeed, authors showed experimentally that the bioaccumulation capacity of Zn from seawater was particularly pronounced in this shark species, resulting in a higher concentration factor for *S. canicula* than for the teleost turbot *Psetta maxima*, for instance (Jeffree et al., 2006, 2010). However, the other chondrichthyan species *G. melastomus* presented lower Zn concentrations but higher Cu and Pb concentrations. Several studies have shown heterogeneity in metal bioaccumulation between chondrichthyan species (e.g. Glover, 1979; Jeffree et al., 2010). Inferring such a pattern for *G. melastomus* may explain the differences observed between the two shark species, but actual pattern could only be explained by dedicated experiments. Thus, the peculiar metabolism of *S. canicula* regarding Zn may explain the high values measured excluding local contamination.

In this study, only 7 element concentrations have been modeled, i.e. Zn concentration for *S. canicula* and *H. dactylopterus* and all element concentrations except Ni for *N. norvegicus*. The crustacean species presented a significant increase of Ag and Cd concentrations with increasing trophic level of the individuals and also a significant biomagnification of Cu that differ according to the ecosystem (significant interaction Ecosystem × Trophic level). In addition, a significant bioaccumulation was observed for Cu that differed also between ecosystems. In general for crustaceans, Cd is mainly taken up from water (Rainbow, 1998). This bioaccumulation pattern has been described for different crustacean species such as the barnacle *Elminius modestus* and the shrimp *Palaemon elegans*. Thus Cd is bioaccumulated in a metabolically available form along life and is stored in a detoxified form without excretion process (Rainbow, 2002). As such, the pattern observed in this study for Ag and Cd in *N. norvegicus* seems to follow this accumulation pattern. However, all relationships found in the present study must still be confirmed as it is not supported by a large number of samples. For all the fish species studied (both sharks and teleosts), there...
was no relationship between element concentrations and the total length and/or trophic level except for Zn concentrations in *H. dactylopterus*, which presented a significant decrease of Zn concentrations with increasing fish length. This likely suggests low bioaccumulation and trophic transfer of the studied trace metals for these high-trophic level consumers, and/or that their inputs over time are lower than the outputs through excretion, or than the dilution of metal burden with growth, for instance. The absence of relationship between fish size and trace element concentrations, whether essential or non-essential, has been indeed already described in previous studies (Canli and Atli, 2003). The absence of relationships for the essential elements that are Cu and Zn in particular likely indicates that the body concentrations are regulated and maintained at a certain concentration (Canli and Atli, 2003; Hornung et al., 1993). However, a negative relationship was already observed (Endo et al., 2008), and may be explained by a higher metabolic activity in fast-growing young individuals, requiring higher amount of these elements than older individuals. Similarly, concentrations of non-essential elements such as Cd or Pb tend to decrease or to show non-significant trends with increasing fish size and/or trophic level, probably due to higher excretion rate and/or dilution of metal burden with growth, and lower metabolism (Eisler, 2010). In the present study, for both ecosystems, the fish size range represented through the sampling rather concerned fishes with large size (i.e. more adults than juveniles), which could explain the absence of this significant relationship due to the lack of juvenile fish in our sampling. A similar absence of significant relationship was nevertheless recently observed for a Mediterranean scorpionfish over a larger size range, demonstrating that the pattern observed in the present study may not be so biased by the sample size (Ourgaud et al., 2018). Finally, biomagnification likely depends on the bioavailability of metals in prey or food sources, on the trace metal assimilation efficiency of predators, on food web complexity and on its species composition (Wang, 2002). Most trace metals (with the exception of cesium (Cs) and Hg) do not biomagnify along aquatic food webs (Xu and Wang, 2002), which is supported here by the absence of relationship between element concentrations and trophic level. In addition, the pattern observed here in muscle tissues may be blurred by the preferential bioaccumulation of metals in liver or kidneys, as commented above.

For each species, means of concentrations of most of the trace metals studied were higher in the GoL than in the BoB (Table 3, Fig. 2). Moreover, this trend is confirmed by the TEPI values. All species combined, the TEPI values were higher in the GoL (1.13) than in the BoB (0.70), as it was the case for the majority of the TEPI calculated when each species was considered separately (Table 2). This pattern is consistent with previous studies on trace metal contamination such as Hg (Chouvelon et al., 2018; Cossa and Coquery, 2005; Harmelin-Vivien et al., 2009) but also Cd and Pb (Damiano et al., 2011). In our study, only *H. dactylopterus* and *N. norvegicus* presented significant difference of metal concentrations between both locations (Table 3). For *H. dactylopterus*, mean Zn a concentration was 18.7 ± 2.7 μg·g⁻¹ in the GoL and was significantly higher than in the BoB, where the values were all below the quantification limit. Mean Ag concentration and Cu concentration for *N. norvegicus* were significantly higher in the GoL (1.060 ± 0.477 μg·g⁻¹ and 61.9 ± 25.1 μg·g⁻¹, respectively) than in the BoB (0.512 ± 0.191 μg·g⁻¹ and 12.6 ± 4.7 μg·g⁻¹, respectively). These results could be explained by three potential hypotheses. First, differences of trace metal concentrations may result from higher sediment concentrations in the GoL than in the BoB. Benthic-demersal species as the ones used in the present study forage near or at the surface of the sediment, and may thus be influenced by chemical contamination in the sediment. Mean values measured in the sediment within the French network of chemical contamination monitoring (“ROCCh”, ex “RNO”) were found to be higher in the GoL (Cu = 16.5 μg·g⁻¹, Ni = 30 μg·g⁻¹, Pb = 31 μg·g⁻¹, and Zn = 78.5 μg·g⁻¹ dry weight) than in the south of the BoB (the Landes and the Basque coasts: Ni = 13.3 μg·g⁻¹, Cu = 7.1 μg·g⁻¹, Pb = 24.1 μg·g⁻¹ and Zn = 79.3 μg·g⁻¹ dry weight), which might support this hypothesis. A similar pattern was observed in the present study for Cu and Ni in organisms (crustaceans and fish), with concentrations two times higher in the GoL than in the BoB. Second, this pattern of generally higher metal concentrations in individuals from the GoL than from the BoB is consistent with previous studies on other contaminants such as Hg, which highlighted the prevalent effect of oligotrophy, driving higher contamination levels, lower organisms’ size and lower C and N stable isotope ratios in the Mediterranean (i.e. lower bio-dilution effect of contaminants in general through the food web) (Chouvelon et al., 2018; Cossa et al., 2012; Harmelin-Vivien et al., 2009). This is also observed in this study where the majority of metal concentrations were on average higher in the GoL than in the BoB, along with lower total lengths and trophic levels, likely revealing the crucial role of sources of organic matter and primary producers at the base of the food web in the bioaccumulation and trophic transfer of metals (for more details, see Chouvelon et al., 2018). Third, an increasing number of studies have documented relatively high levels of trace metal contamination in shelf-edge or deep species (Cronin et al., 1998; Hornung et al., 1993), especially when compared to neritic species (Chouvelon et al., 2012). In this study, samples from the GoL were caught deeper than BoB samples. This may also explain the highest species contamination observed in the GoL by a potential effect of the depth on trace metal concentrations, where remineralization and/or transformation and modification of the bioavailability of scavenging elements may occur (e.g. for Hg, Heimbürger et al., 2010).

In summary, this study investigated and compared the concentrations of six trace metals in the muscle of seven species including one crustacean, two chondrichthyan and four teleost species between the BoB and GoL. Most of these species (Chouvelon et al., 2018; Cossa et al., 2012; Harmelin-Vivien et al., 2009) were caught deeper than BoB samples. This may also explain the highest species contamination observed in the GoL by a potential effect of the depth on trace metal concentrations, where remineralization and/or transformation and modification of the bioavailability of scavenging elements may occur (e.g. for Hg, Heimbürger et al., 2010).
Mediterranean Sea concerning trace metals. Additionally, relationships between the concentrations of the six analyzed trace metals and total length or trophic level were never significant for fish, except one for one fish (Zn concentrations in *H. dactylopterus*). A significant increase of Ag, Cd and Cu concentrations in the muscle with increasing trophic level, and a significant decrease of Cu with increasing length were observed for the crustacean only. The absence of clear patterns may be largely due to the confounding effects of element integration, metabolic regulation and excretion mechanisms, more complex for these trace metals than for exclusive contaminants like Hg or Cs. Further work based on the concentrations of these trace metals in the muscle, measured in other species for instance, would both support these results obtained and provide more data for a better understanding of metal bioaccumulation processes and transfers in food webs. In addition, in the present study, only species with a relatively high trophic level were considered, thus it would be also highly relevant to examine other species or compartments with lower trophic level such as plankton.
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