

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Regional Studies in Marine Science



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rsma

Diet of spiny lobsters from Mahé Island reefs, Seychelles inferred by trophic tracers



Fany Sardenne^{a,*}, Nathalie Bodin^{b,c,1}, Leo Barret^d, Laura Blamey^{e,2}, Rodney Govinden^b, Kettyna Gabriel^b, Rosabella Mangroo^b, Jean-Marie Munaron^a, François Le Loc'h^a, Antoine Bideau^a, Fabienne Le Grand^a, Magali Sabino^f, Paco Bustamante^{f,g}, David Rowat^d

^a Univ Brest, CNRS, IRD, Ifremer, LEMAR, F-29280 Plouzané, France

^b Seychelles Fishing Authority (SFA), Victoria, Mahé, Seychelles

^c IRD (French Research Institute for Sustainable Development), Victoria, Mahé, Seychelles

^d Marine Conservation Society Seychelles (MCSS), BeauVallon, Mahé, Seychelles

^e James Michel Blue Economy Research Institute (BERI), University of Seychelles, Anse Royale, Mahé, Seychelles

^fLittoral Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7266 CNRS, La Rochelle Université, 2 rue Olympe de Gouges, 17 000 La Rochelle, France

^g Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), 1 rue Descartes, 75 005 Paris, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 November 2020 Received in revised form 4 January 2021 Accepted 19 January 2021 Available online 23 January 2021

Keywords: Fatty acids Stable isotopes Bayesian mixing model Panulirus spp. Tropical reef habitats Western Indian Ocean

ABSTRACT

Spiny lobsters (Panulirus longipes, P. penicillatus and P. versicolor) are an important resource in Seychelles, where they inhabit coastal carbonate and granite reefs that have been impacted by multiple coral bleaching events over the past two decades. Little is known about their biology and ecology in this region. Interspecific competition for food resources was previously suggested, but no quantitative data on the diet of spiny lobsters were available. Using carbon and nitrogen stable isotope compositions and fatty acid profiles of three spiny lobster species and their potential prey, a Bayesian mixing model for diet estimation was applied to compare the diet proportions of spiny lobsters among species and between reef types (carbonate and granite reefs). Model outputs suggested the three lobster species consume mainly crustaceans (Anomoura hermit crabs; half of the diet), then Echinoidea (sea urchins), algae and molluscs. P. versicolor was found to consume slightly more molluscs and algae than the two other studied species, which was consistent with its lower trophic level (2.4 vs 2.8 for the two other species). Trophic level did not increase with carapace length of spiny lobsters, but large individuals had higher carbon isotopic values suggesting that they might feed closer to the coast or more on detritus feeders than their smaller congeners. Diets of spiny lobsters were fairly similar between carbonate and granite reefs, except that lobster inhabiting granite reefs consumed more sea urchins. While our overall findings were consistent with gut contents of Panulirus spp. from other world regions, they should be confirmed, as the discrimination of several prey based on trophic tracers was low, which increased mixing model uncertainty.

© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

To maintain ecosystems in a healthy, productive, and resilient condition, several elements affecting species populations should be considered, such as interactions with other species or effects of environmental changes (Pikitch et al., 2004). To reach this goal, ecosystem-based fisheries management requires fisheries research to account for relationships between target species and

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2021.101640 2352-4855/© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. their habitats, including trophic interactions (Bellchambers et al., 2010; Tam et al., 2017).

Spiny lobsters *Panulirus longipes*, *P. penicillatus* and *P. versicolor* are important ecological, social and economic resources in the Seychelles Archipelago, a small island state in the Western Indian Ocean (Fig. 1). They are found on shallow coral and granite reefs and in recent years, recurrent coral bleaching events have resulted in the loss of coral cover and structural complexity on these reefs and an increase in macroalgae cover (Graham et al., 2006; Harris et al., 2014). Although variable depending on the year, approximately three metric tons of spiny lobster (*P. longipes*, *P. penicillatus* and *P. versicolor*) are landed each year by the artisanal fishery (Barret, 2019), most of it being sold to local hotels and restaurants. Declines in catch per unit effort (CPUE) (24%) in the 2016–2017 season, and continued suppressed CPUE

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: fany.sardenne@univ-brest.fr (F. Sardenne).

 $^{1\,}$ Present address: Sustainable Ocean Seychelles (SOS), BeauBelle, Mahé, Seychelles.

² Present address: Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Oceans & Atmosphere, Brisbane, Australia.

from independent fishery surveys have resulted in a temporary closure of the lobster fishery (SFA Fisheries Research Section, 2019), and highlighted the need for an ecosystem-based approach to fishery management, particularly given the new ecological conditions, i.e. recurrent coral bleaching events and likely habitat modification.

Despite the importance of spiny lobsters for the Seychelles, little is known about their biology and ecology in the region (Barret, 2019). As part of a precautionary approach to fisheries management, a number of management measures are in place, including the prohibition of traps to catch lobsters (they may only be caught using snorkelling) (Payet and Isidore, 2002). This avoids a possible modification of the diet toward bait, as has been observed in Western Australia (MacArthur et al., 2011). However, the diet of spiny lobsters in the Western Indian Ocean remains unknown. A recent study based on trophic tracers, i.e. carbon and nitrogen stable isotope compositions (SI; $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$) and fatty acids (FAs) profiles, compared trophic niches of three spiny lobster species and found a high probability of dietary competition among these species (Sabino et al., 2020). While this study did not analyse the potential prey of spiny lobsters, results were consistent with the gut content analysis of Panulirus spp. in other world regions: Panulirus spp. are opportunists, mainly feeding on crustaceans and molluscs, and to a lesser extent on red algae (Briones-Fourzán et al., 2019; Castañeda-Fernández-de-Lara et al., 2005; Joll and Phillips, 1984; Mashaii et al., 2001). However, the proportion of algae might be underestimated due to a rapid gastric evacuation (<12 h; Waddington, 2008). Additionally, the predominant benthic habitat surrounding a reef can be an important source of variation for the diet of spiny lobsters (Blamey et al., 2019; Goñi et al., 2001; MacArthur et al., 2011). Similar variability in diet probably occurs for spiny lobsters from the Sevchelles, as their potential for dietary competition was higher in granite reefs than in carbonate reefs (Sabino et al., 2020). probably in relation to the higher prey diversity in carbonate reefs (Robinson et al., 2019). However, quantitative diet studies are needed to better describe their trophic ecology while considering their different types of reef habitat in the Seychelles.

SI and FAs are intrinsic ecological tracers commonly used to infer assimilated diet in consumers (Ramos and González-Solís, 2012), including in spiny lobsters (e.g. Blamey et al., 2019; Guest et al., 2009; Sabino et al., 2020; Waddington et al., 2008). δ^{13} C values allow for the discrimination of habitat types (e.g. coastal *vs* offshore, the latter being characterised by depleted δ^{13} C values; (France, 1995) and δ^{15} N values inform on the species' trophic level due to a ¹⁵N enrichment with increasing trophic levels (Vander Zanden et al., 1997). SI in muscle reflect the diet of spiny lobsters in the last three months (Waddington and MacArthur, 2008). FAs makeup lipids, and FAs of fat depots such as the hepatopancreas in crustaceans, reflect the FA profiles of prey assimilated by consumers in the last few days to weeks, depending on species (Antonio and Richoux, 2016; Shu-Chien et al., 2017). Both SI and FA data can be used for the quantitative diet reconstruction through mixing models (e.g. Jankowska et al., 2018; Neubauer and Jensen, 2015; Young et al., 2018).

The objective of this study was to compare the trophic ecology of the three tropical spiny lobsters commonly caught in the Seychelles (*P. longipes*, *P. penicillatus* and *P. versicolor*) using SI and FA data from spiny lobsters and their potential prey. Specifically, we were interested in (1) what are the main prey of spiny lobster in Seychelles, (2) do diet and trophic level differ between spiny lobster species, and (3) does spiny lobster diet differ between reef types (carbonate vs granite reefs)?

2. Material and methods

2.1. Organism collection

Spiny lobsters and their potential prey were collected from the shallow coastal waters from reefs around the coasts of Mahé, the main island of the Seychelles Archipelago, home to around 90% of the country's population, and supporting 60% of the licensed lobster fishermen, Based on literature (Table S1), potential lobster prey (n=74 individuals from 13 species and 7 phylogenetic classes) were collected by scuba-divers at four sites (maximum 10 meters depth) (Fig. 1) in April 2018 and October 2019. Spiny lobsters (26 P. longipes, 31 P. penicillatus and 7 P. versicolor) were collected by divers on snorkel from 15 sites (12 granite and 3 carbonate reefs) in October 2018 and May 2019 (Table 1). Granite reefs are granitic rocky reefs with coral growth on the granite substrate, and carbonate reefs are continuous carbonate fringing reefs (Harris et al., 2014). Note that many carbonate reefs have deteriorated or collapsed following multiple coral bleaching events (Obura et al., 2017) and therefore most lobsters in our study were found on granitic reefs. All organisms were frozen at -80 °C immediately after collection until further processing (less than seven days after collection) at the Sevchelles Fishing Authority Research Laboratory. For spiny lobsters, a sample of hepatopancreas (for FA analysis) and a sample of tail muscle (for SI analysis) were carefully dissected from the organism. Potential prev were identified to species level whenever possible and their tissues were sampled for both FA and SI analysis. For echinoderms, a sample of muscle was carefully dissected from the Aristotle's lantern; for bivalves, gastropods and anomurans (decapod malacostracans - hermit crabs), the whole body tissue was collected after removing the shells; finally, polyps of Palythoa (anthozoan) were kept whole and algae (green algae of the Chlorophyta phylum; brown algae of the Ochrophyta phylum; and red algae or Rhodophyta) were kept with their small epiphytes (<1 mm).

All prey and spiny lobster samples were then kept at -80 °C for a maximum of five months, freeze-dried for 72 h, then ground to a homogeneous powder with a ball mill, and stored again at -80 °C prior to subsequent analysis.

2.2. Stable isotope analysis

For potential prey items, carbon isotope composition was analysed on a subsample acidified with HCl at 0.5 to 2 N (according to the effervescence) to remove carbonate, then rinsed three times with distilled water, and freeze-dried for 48 h. Nitrogen isotope composition was analysed on a subsample of the bulk powder. For lobster muscle, the bulk powder was analysed for both carbon and nitrogen isotope composition, as the muscle did not contain carbonate neither high lipid content (fat content is 0.7% wet weight and C:N ratio remains < 3.5). Acidified and bulk powders were weighted into tin capsules and analysed by continuous flow on a Flash EA2000 elemental analyser coupled to a Delta V Plus isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher scientific) at the Pôle Spectrométrie Océan, University of Brest, France, or on a Flash EA1112 elemental analyser coupled to a Delta V Advantage isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher scientific) at the LIENSs stable isotope facility, University of La Rochelle, France. Calibrations were based on reference materials (IAEA-600, IAEA-CH-6 and IAEA-N-2). Results were reported in the δ unit notation and expressed as parts per thousand (%) relative to the international standards (atmospheric N2 for nitrogen and Vienna-Pee Dee Belemnite for carbon). Analytical precision based on replicate measurements of acetanilide was < 0.15 % for both δ^{13} C and $\delta^{15}N$ values at both laboratories. Inter-laboratory replicate



Fig. 1. Sampling sites of spiny lobsters *Panulirus longipes*, *P. penicilatus* and *P. versicolor* and their potential prey collected from the coastal shallow waters of the west coast of Mahé Island, Seychelles, located in the Western Indian Ocean (red star on the top right panel). Sites correspond to granite reefs unless specified (three carbonate reefs).

measurements on reference materials (n=9), acetanilide (n=3), urea (n=3), casein (n=3), and lobster samples (n=3) found no significant differences in results between laboratories, with all differences below the analytical precision (<0.15 %). C:N ratios were determined from % element weights.

2.3. Fatty acid analysis

Subsamples of dry powders (n=141) were treated as described in Sardenne et al. (2019). Lipids were extracted from 50–100 mg of dry tissue with 6 mL of CHCl₃:MeOH (2:1, v/v) mixture directly added into glass vials. Extracts were flushed with nitrogen, vortexed, sonicated for 15–20 min, and stored for 24 h at -20 °C. Tricosanoic acid (23:0) was added as an internal standard to 2 mL of lipid extract. Total lipids were transesterified with 800 µL of H₂SO₄(3.8% in MeOH) at 100 °C for 10 min then washed with hexane-saturated distilled water. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) and dimethyl acetals (DMA; from vinyl ether lipids) were separated and quantified on a Varian CP8400 gas chromatography equipped with a Zebron ZB-WAX column (30 m length, 0.25 mm internal diameter, 0.25 µm film thickness; Phenomenex) and a flame ionisation detector at the Lipidocean facility, University of Brest, France. Samples were injected in splitless mode at 280 °C and carried by hydrogen gas. The oven temperature was raised from 60 °C to 150 °C at 50 °C min⁻¹, to 170 °C at 3.5 °C min⁻¹, to 185 °C at 1.5 °C min⁻¹, to 225 °C at 2.4 °C min⁻¹ and then to 250 °C at 5.5 °C min⁻¹. FAMEs were identified by comparing sample retention times to those of four external standard mixtures (37-components, BAME, PUFA no. 1, and PUFA no. 3 FAME mix; Supelco) using Galaxie 1.9.3.2 software (Varian). The mean analytical variability of the method was 8.1%, based on Supelco 37-component FAME mix routinely checked. Individual FA results were expressed as a percentage of the total identified FAs, for 44 FAs accounting for > 1.5% in at least two samples. Total fat content was calculated as the sum of individual fatty acids (based on 23:0 concentration) and expressed in $\mu g m g^{-1}$ of dry tissue.



Fig. 2. Trophic tracers of spiny lobsters and their potential prey presented with (A) a biplot of nitrogen ($\delta^{15}N$) and carbon ($\delta^{13}N$) isotopic values, and (B) a principal component analysis based on 44 fatty acids with spiny lobsters' observations superimposed (only FA contributing the most to the discrimination are indicated in grey).

2.4. Data analysis

2.4.1. Fractionation factors (FFs) and trophic level calculation

Calculation of trophic levels and Bayesian mixing model include FFs (also called 'trophic enrichment factors' or 'trophic discriminant factors') to take into account for isotopic enrichment in heavier isotopes (¹³C and ¹⁵N) between successive trophic levels, i.e. between consumers and their prey. Although FFs are commonly set to 0.5% and 3.4% for $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ in marine systems, respectively, FFs are influenced by biological factors (e.g., species, tissue, age, food nutrients) (McCutchan et al., 2003; Vander Zanden et al., 2001) and a large range of FF values have been used to compute the trophic level of spiny lobsters based on δ^{15} N values: e.g. 3.5‰ for Jasus edwardsii (Guest et al., 2009), 2.6% for P. cygnus (Waddington et al., 2008). To better take into account FFs' variability in the Seychelles marine food web, FFs were estimated for each potential prey of spiny lobster with simple linear regressions, as FFs tend to decrease with increasing isotopic ratios of prey (Caut et al., 2009). FFs' estimation was thus based on the isotopic values of potential prey, and a diet experiment on P. cygnus (Waddington and MacArthur, 2008) (Fig. S1b). The obtained FFs were used to compute the trophic levels of spiny lobsters (see below) and were included in the Bayesian mixing model (see Section 2.4.2).

Trophic levels were calculated relative to the $\delta^{15}N$ values of macroalgae (green, brown and red algae, average $\delta^{15}N = 6.5 \pm 0.5$ ‰, n=18), according to the following equation:

Trophic level =
$$\frac{\delta^{15} N_{spiny \ lobster} - \delta^{15} N_{macroalgae}}{FF_{15_N}} + 1$$

with FF_{15_N} the average FF for ¹⁵N between lobster tail muscle and macroalgae previously computed from Fig. S1b: $FF_{15_N} = 2.9 \pm 0.4\%$. This calculation assumes the isotopic baseline to be the same for the three spiny lobster species.

2.4.2. Diet estimation using mixing modelling method

A Bayesian mixing model was run to estimate the proportional contribution of sampled prey to the diet of spiny lobsters, based on SI values and FA profiles summarized through PCA. Because the discriminatory power of mixing models starts to decline above six or seven potential prey items (Phillips et al., 2014), the prey species (n=13) were grouped as much as possible while respecting the phylogeny (n=9 classes) (Table 2). The model was run with two different groupings to compare (i) the diet among

Fabl	e 1		
Dota	ilc	on	the

Details on the sampling design of spiny lobsters from reefs of Mahé Island, Seychelles.

Species	Habitat type	Ν	Carapace length (mm)
Panulirus longipes	Carbonate reefs	3	77.6 ± 5.1
Panulirus longipes	Granite reefs	23	78.7 ± 10.6
Panulirus penicillatus	Carbonate reefs	4	119.1 ± 21.7
Panulirus penicillatus	Granite reefs	27	98.5 ± 16.0
Panulirus versicolor	Granite reefs	7	84.3 ± 15.5

the three spiny lobster species, and (ii) the diet of spiny lobsters between reef types (granite *vs* carbonate reefs, regardless of the species because of low sample size and unbalanced design). The Bayesian mixing model included three types of parameters that were set as follow:

- (i) Fractionation factors. For SI, FFs were obtained as described in Section 2.4.1. For FA profiles, FFs were set to 0 as the bioconversion of FA was assumed to be negligible in the hepatopancreas of spiny lobster due to its high proportion of dietary lipids (Shu-Chien et al., 2017).
- (ii) Concentration dependencies. Disparities among prey in the concentrations of trophic tracers induce different contributions of each prey to consumers' values (Phillips and Koch, 2002). Percent of carbon and nitrogen elements and total fat content were thus included for each potential prey.
- (iii) Priors. Model priors on diet source proportions should be included when potential sources have similar values of trophic tracers (Franco-Trecu et al., 2013). Priors on the nine prey class proportions were thus included, based on the literature on stomach contents for Panulirus spp. (Table S1).

Samples for the posterior distributions were drawn by Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulation methods (10,000 iterations, 4 parallel MCMC chains, and 1,000 burn-in rate). Model convergence diagnostics are presented in Fig. S2. Strong negative correlations between prey proportions may impair model estimations. To avoid this and to obtain a comprehensive overview of the lobsters' diet, proportions of prey classes were grouped *a posteriori* (Phillips et al., 2014) on a phylogenetic basis (i.e. Mollusc for Bivalvia and Gastropoda classes; Algae for Chlorophyta, Ochrophyta and Rhodophyta phylum).

Table 2

С

Mean \pm standard deviation for isotopic values (δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N, in ‰), total lipid content (TLC, in μ g mg⁻¹ in dry weight), and proportions of fatty acids contributing the most to species discrimination (in % of total fatty acids) for 13 potential prey species and three spiny lobster species caught from reefs around Mahé Island, Seychelles. See material and methods for details on analysis. When n = 2, only mean values are provided.

		<u> </u>														
	Phylum	Class	Species	N	δ ¹³ C (‰)	$\delta^{15}N$ (‰)	$_{(\mu g m g^{-1})}^{TLC}$	16:0 (%)	18:0DMA (%)	18:1n-9 (%)	18:4n-1 (%)	20:1n-11 (%)	20:4n-6 (%)	20:5n-3 (%)	22:5n-6 (%)	20:6n-3 (%)
	Chlorophyta	Chlorophyta	Chlorophyta spp	2	-17.7	7.2	0.7	23.0	0.0	5.1	0.0	3.3	4.1	5.5	0.8	8.0
	1 5	Ulvophyceae	Chlorodesmis spp	6	-23.8 ± 0.7	6.5 ± 0.3	3.2 ± 0.6	41.6 ± 2.6	0.0 ± 0.0	9.1 ± 0.8	$0.0~\pm~0.0$	0.0 ± 0.0	1.6 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.1
	Ochrophyta	Phaeophyceae	Turbinaria decurrens	5	-10.8 ± 1.6	6.0 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 0.4	35.6 ± 3.4	$0.0~\pm~0.0$	13.8 ± 0.9	$0.0~\pm~0.0$	0.0 ± 0.1	13.5 ± 0.8	1.7 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.1
	Rhodophyta	Rhodophyta	Rhodophyta sp1	2	-22.8	6.3	0.3	51.3	0.0	11.9	0.0	0.0	8.6	5.0	0.0	0.0
		Rhodophyta	Rhodophyta sp2	3	-16.0 ± 1.0	7.2 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.1	45.0 ± 4.7	$0.0~\pm~0.0$	5.5 ± 1.9	0.1 ± 0.1	$0.4~\pm~0.2$	12.2 ± 7.1	1.8 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 1.7	1.0 ± 0.2
	Arthropod	Malacostraca	Calcinus laevimanus	10	-14.3 ± 1.3	7.8 ± 0.4	2.9 ± 0.8	22.6 ± 5.6	3.1 ± 1.0	5.8 ± 0.7	$0.0~\pm~0.0$	0.3 ± 0.1	14.3 ± 2.6	11.1 ± 1.7	0.9 ± 0.2	3.7 ± 1.3
Potential prey	-	Malacostraca	Dardanus lagopodes	5	-15.0 ± 0.6	8.7 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.4	20.9 ± 5.6	3.3 ± 2.3	6.3 ± 1.0	$0.0~\pm~0.0$	0.3 ± 0.1	11.8 ± 2.5	11.5 ± 2.8	1.1 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 2.5
	Cnidaria	Anthozoa	Palythoa natalensis	9	-13.9 ± 0.2	8.4 ± 0.6	0.7 ± 0.2	$24.3~\pm~2.3$	5.4 ± 1.2	2.3 ± 0.2	4.5 ± 2.3	0.7 ± 0.3	7.8 ± 1.4	2.4 ± 0.6	0.3 ± 0.1	3.9 ± 0.6
	Echinoderm	Echinoidea	Echinothrix diadema	3	-13.7 ± 0.9	12.4 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.1	10.7 ± 0.1	6.7 ± 0.9	0.4 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.0	8.7 ± 0.6	26.7 ± 0.4	7.4 ± 1.7	1.0 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.1
	Mollusc	Bivalvia	Pinctada margaritifera	7	-17.5 ± 1.0	7.6 ± 0.4	5.1 ± 2.4	12.5 ± 5.1	7.4 ± 4.1	4.0 ± 0.8	0.1 ± 0.1	5.4 ± 1.7	6.1 ± 1.4	4.1 ± 2.4	1.8 ± 0.5	12.6 ± 3.4
		Gastropoda	Conus spp	7	-13.7 ± 2.0	10.8 ± 1.8	3.8 ± 1.4	12.0 ± 7.5	8.5 ± 2.4	2.7 ± 0.7	$0.0~\pm~0.0$	5.5 ± 1.2	11.3 ± 3.2	3.0 ± 1.8	0.5 ± 0.6	0.8 ± 0.7
		Gastropoda	Latirolagena smaragdulus	8	-13.6 ± 1.5	$10.7~\pm~0.3$	3.1 ± 0.2	5.9 ± 0.3	8.5 ± 1.7	$2.5~\pm~0.2$	0.2 ± 0.1	8.1 ± 0.5	$14.3~\pm~0.5$	1.3 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.0
		Gastropoda	Vasum turbinellus	7	-13.7 ± 1.5	11.2 \pm 0.2	$3.0~\pm~1.0$	5.7 ± 1.4	$7.7~\pm~3.4$	$1.6~\pm~0.4$	$0.1~\pm~0.0$	$6.6~\pm~1.1$	$15.3~\pm~1.5$	$1.9~\pm~0.2$	$0.5~\pm~0.2$	1.5 ± 1.9
Lobsters	Arthropod	Malacostraca Malacostraca Malacostraca	Panulirus longipes Panulirus penicillatus Panulirus versicolor	26 31 7	$\begin{array}{r} -14.3\ \pm\ 0.6\\ -13.6\ \pm\ 0.7\\ -13.5\ \pm\ 0.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.5 \ \pm \ 0.3 \\ 11.7 \ \pm \ 0.4 \\ 10.5 \ \pm \ 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.7\ \pm\ 16.4\\ 52.7\ \pm\ 20.1\\ 43.6\ \pm\ 22.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.1 \ \pm \ 2.1 \\ 17.4 \ \pm \ 2.0 \\ 17.6 \ \pm \ 2.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.4 \pm 0.6 \\ 2.3 \pm 0.8 \\ 2.5 \pm 0.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 7.4 \ \pm \ 1.4 \\ 10.5 \ \pm \ 3.6 \\ 7.6 \ \pm \ 2.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\ \pm\ 0.0\\ 0.0\ \pm\ 0.0\\ 0.0\ \pm\ 0.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.9\ \pm\ 0.6\\ 2.8\ \pm\ 0.9\\ 2.4\ \pm\ 0.7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.8\ \pm\ 1.3\\ 8.5\ \pm\ 1.9\\ 11.3\ \pm\ 2.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.7 \ \pm \ 1.3 \\ 7.1 \ \pm \ 2.0 \\ 7.8 \ \pm \ 1.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 \ \pm \ 0.1 \\ 0.5 \ \pm \ 0.1 \\ 0.7 \ \pm \ 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.0\ \pm\ 1.0\\ 4.3\ \pm\ 2.2\\ 4.1\ \pm\ 1.2\end{array}$

2.4.3. Variability in trophic tracers and diet estimations

Factors explaining variability in trophic tracers were assessed using ANOVA for univariate data (trophic level, δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values), and PERMANOVA for multivariate FA profiles (nontransformed data). SIMPER analysis was used to detect FAs that contribute the most to differences among groups. For potential prey, the influence of the two fixed factors 'Class' (nine phylogenetic classes) and 'Reef type' (granite vs carbonate reefs) was assessed. For spiny lobsters, the influence of 'Species' (P. longipes, P. penicillatus, and P. versicolor), 'Reef type' (granite vs carbonate reefs), 'Carapace length' and the interaction 'Species*Carapace length' were considered as fixed factors. Homogeneity of residuals was tested with Levene's test. Since homogeneity was found for all groups, parametric post hoc Tukey HSD tests were used to refine differences among groups. Diet estimations, i.e. outputs from the Bayesian mixing model, were compared among spiny lobster species and between reef types in the Bayesian framework (no test value, only probabilities were reported).

Data analyses were performed using R software 3.5.0 (R Development Core Team, 2018), the JAGS program, and the "simmr" package (http://mcmc-jags.sourceforge.net) (Parnell et al., 2013). All results are reported as means \pm SD.

3. Results

3.1. Variability in trophic tracers among potential spiny lobsters' prey

Among the potential prey of spiny lobsters, the phylogenetic species class (i.e. 'Class') explained most of the variability in trophic tracers, while reef type explained no difference. δ^{13} C values varied between species' class (F=39.6, *d*=8, p<0.001; 83.4% of explained variability), with values ranging from -23.8 ± 0.7 % for green algae of the Ulvophyceae class (*Chlorodesmis* spp.) to -10.8 ± 1.6 % for brown algae of the Phaeophyceae class (*Turbinaria decurrens*) (Fig. 2a). Reef type explained 0.5% of the variability in δ^{13} C values (F=2.0, *d*=1, p=0.16). Similarly, δ^{15} N values varied between species' class (F=59.2, *d*=8, p<0.001; 88.2% of explained variability), with values ranging from 6.0 \pm 0.4 % for *Turbinaria decurrens* to 12.4 \pm 0.1 % for the Echinoidea class (sea urchin *Echinothrix diadema*) (Fig. 2a). The reef type explained only 0.1% of the variability in δ^{15} N values (F=0.3, *d*=1, p=0.59).

Regarding FA profiles, species' class and reef type explained 85.1% ($\chi^2 = 645.9$, d=8, p < 0.001) and 0.3% ($\chi^2 = 1.9$, d=1, p = 0.17) of the variability, respectively. PCA projection captured 39.5% the variability in FA profiles with 16:0, 18:0 DMA, 18:4n-1, 20:1n-11, 20:5n-3, and 22:5n-6 the six main discriminant FAs (Fig. 2b). PCA highlighted differences in FA profiles according to the phylogenetic groups: (i) the algae group (including Chlorophyta, Phaeophycea, and Rhodophyta phylums; PCA' bottom left panel) with the highest levels in 14:0, 16:0, 16:1n-7, and 18:3n-6; (ii) the Arthropod phylum (Malacostra class; PCA' top left panel), with the highest levels in 18:1n-7, 20:4n-6 and 20:5n-3; (iii) the Mollusc phylum (Bivalvia and Gastropoda classes; PCA' right panels), with the highest levels in three nonmethylated interrupted (NMI) FAs (22:2 NMI (7,13), 22:2 NMI (7,15), and 22:3 NMI), 16:1n-7 DMA, 22:1n-11, 22:5n-3, and 22:4n-6; (iv) the Echinoderm phylum (Echinoidea class; PCA' top right panel), with the highest levels in 18:0; and (v) the Cnidaria phylum (Anthozoa class), rich in 18:4n-1 (Fig. 2b; Table 2).

3.2. Variability in trophic tracers among spiny lobsters

Regarding carapace length, individuals ranged from 60.2-143.2 mm CL with *P. penicillatus* significantly larger than *P. longipes* and *P. versicolor* (F=17.2, *df*=2, p < 0.001; Fig. 3a).

Regardless of species and due to unbalanced sampling design, individuals from both reef types were of similar carapace length (101.3 ± 27.1 and 88.8 ± 16.7 mm CL for carbonate and granite reefs, respectively; F=3.6, df =1, p = 0.06). *P. penicillatus* tended however to be larger in carbonate than in granite reefs (Table 1). Regarding δ^{13} C values, studied individuals ranged from -15.3 to -12.2 ‰ with no difference observed among species, reef type, or interaction between species and carapace length (F=2.2, df=2, p = 0.13; F=0.3, df=1, p = 0.58; and F=2.3, df=2, p = 0.11, respectively). However, δ^{13} C values increased with carapace length (slope = 0.02; R² = 0.25; F=12.6, df=1, p < 0.001; 16.0% of explained variability), from -14.3 ± 0.6 ‰ below 80 mm CL to -13.0 ± 0.3 ‰ above 120 mm CL, especially for *P. penicillatus* (slope = 0.02, R² = 0.20; F=8.6, d=1, p < 0.01; Fig. 4).

Regarding trophic level, studied individuals ranged from 2.3 to 3.1, and only an inter-specific difference was detected (F=32.1, d=2, p < 0.001; 51.9% of explained variability), *P. versicolor* having a significantly lower trophic level than the two other species (mean trophic level of 2.4 *vs.* 2.8; Fig. 3b). No influence of carapace length, reef type, or interaction between species and carapace length on the trophic level was detected (F=0.1, d=1, p = 0.94; F=2.0, d=1, p = 0.17; and F=0.3, d=2, p = 0.75, respectively). Regarding FA profiles, only the carapace length was found to be an influencing factor ($\chi^2 = 17.8, d=1, p < 0.001$; 7.2% of explained variability). No influence of species, reef type, or interaction between species and carapace length on FA profiles was detected ($\chi^2 = 4.1, d=2, p = 0.13; \chi^2 = 0.4, d=1, p = 0.55;$ and $\chi^2 = 3.3, d=2, p = 0.19$, respectively).

3.3. Mixing model outputs

3.3.1. Model diagnostics

MCMC chains of the mixing model did converge to 1. However, *a posteriori* groupings were required due to negative correlations between Malacostraca and Phaeophycea (Pearson's correlation coefficient *r* ranged from -0.57 to -0.92 according to lobster species and reef type), and to a lesser extent between Echinoidea and Malacostraca or Gastropoda. Despite the grouping of Chlorophyta, Ochrophyta, and Rhodophyta (under the 'Algae' group) and of Gastropoda and Bivalvia (under the 'Mollusc' group), a strong negative correlation remained for some groups (*r* of -0.87 between Malacostraca and Alga and of -0.69 between Echinoidea and Mollusc; Fig. S2), indicating the low discrimination of these groups in lobster diets and the possible overestimation of Malacostraca and Echinoidea proportions over Algae and Mollusc proportions in the spiny lobsters' diet.

3.3.2. Model outputs

Inter-specific comparisons. Similar trends were observed for the three spiny lobster species, with Malacostraca identified as their main prey (average proportion: 47%-63% of the diet), followed by Echinoidea (16%-32% of the diet), Algae (8%-18% of the diet), Mollusc (5%-17% of the diet), and Anthozoa (1%-2% of the diet) (Fig. 5a). Due to large distributions in model outputs, dietary proportions of most potential prey were similar among spiny lobsters (at $\alpha = 0.05$). For instance, dietary proportions for Malacostraca were as follows: P. penicillatus 63 \pm 14%, P. longipes 48 \pm 10%, and P. versicolor 47 \pm 12%, with 81.7% and 90.7% probability that *P. penicillatus* consumed more Malacostraca than P. longipes and P. versicolor, respectively. Noticeable differences mainly concerned P. versicolor: (i) 94.8% and 88.3% probability that P. versicolor consumed less Echinoidea than P. longipes and P. penicillatus, respectively; (ii) 78.6% and 83.6% probability that P. versicolor consumed more Mollusc than P. longipes and P. penicillatus, respectively, and (iii) 50.2% and 66.2% probability that P. versicolor consumed more Algae than P. longipes and P. penicillatus, respectively (Fig. 5a).



Fig. 3. Boxplots of (A) carapace length, and (B) trophic level, for three spiny lobster species of Mahé Island, Seychelles. Trophic level was estimated based on δ^{15} N values of the lobster tail muscle relative to the δ^{15} N values of macroalgae (green, brown and red macroalgae) (see material and methods for details). Stars denote significant differences between species (Tukey HSD test; NS = p > 0.05, * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, and *** = p < 0.001).



Fig. 4. Relationships between δ^{13} C values (%) of tail muscle and carapace length (mm) of three spiny lobsters (n = 64) caught from Mahé Island, Seychelles (simple linear regressions). Black line is the linear regression for the three species together (slope = 0.02, R² = 0.25).

Reef habitats' comparison. Spiny lobsters caught from both carbonate reefs (n=7) and granite reefs (n=57) were found to mainly feed on Malacostraca (half of their diets; Fig. 5b). However, spiny lobsters from granite reefs had 83.9% and 92.4% probability of feeding more on Echinoidea and less on Molluscs than their congeners from carbonate reefs, respectively (Fig. 5b).

4. Discussion

The diet of three spiny lobsters (*Panulirus* spp.) from Mahé Island, Seychelles was investigated based on SI compositions and FA profiles of muscle and hepatopancreas, respectively, and their potential prey, using a Bayesian mixing model. Based on model outputs, half of the diet for all three spiny lobster species consisted of Malacostraca. Increasing δ^{13} C values with increasing carapace length suggested that spiny lobsters might fed closer to the coast or more on detritus feeders with increasing size length, and individuals from carbonate reefs fed more on Molluscs than those from granite reefs. The main inter-specific difference observed was the lower trophic level of *P. versicolor*, related to its

higher consumption of algae and molluscs than the two other species.

4.1. Diet of spiny lobsters from reefs of Mahé Island

Diet estimations from the mixing model were based on trophic tracers, and thus correspond to a diet assimilation over several days to months, based on turnover rates of SI and FA muscle and hepatopancreas (Antonio and Richoux, 2016; Waddington and MacArthur, 2008). According to the mixing model, diet profiles of the three spiny lobster species were similar, with Malacos-traca: Anomura (hermit crabs) found to be their main prey. A similar finding has been observed from a reef lagoon of Puerto Morelos, Mexico, where hermit crabs and brachyurans were the main prey in the gut contents of *P. argus* juveniles (Briones-Fourzán et al., 2003). Preponderance of crustaceans in the diet of spiny lobsters has been observed in several *Panulirus* spp., usually in similar proportions to those of molluscs, depending on environmental factors such as reef type, season etc. (**Table**



Fig. 5. Outputs of the Bayesian mixing model estimating the prey proportions in the diet of spiny lobsters (A) among species, and (B) between reef types, based on isotopic values and fatty acid profiles. To improve model diagnostics, bivalves and gastropods were grouped *a posteriori* under the 'Mollusc' group, and green, brown and red algae were grouped under the 'Algae' group. Proportions' summary (Mean \pm SD) is indicated for each group.

S1). In our study, molluscs (gastropods + bivalves) ranked third to fourth in main prey items of spiny lobsters, which was lower than expected based on data from the literature (first to third main prev: Table S1). Around Mahé Island, bivalves do not form dense settlements (Selin et al., 1992; Taylor, 1968) and represent less than 14% of molluscs, which are dominated by gastropods (Barnes et al., 2009). It may explain why few bivalves were found by divers at the sampled sites (only the oyster Pinctada margaritifera). Consequently, bivalves contributed to a low proportion of the Seychelles spiny lobster' diets (<10% of the diets whatever the species), while they can be an important food source of *Panulirus* spp. in other regions of the world (e.g. Gulf of Mexico, Baja California; Table S1) and of other spiny lobsters e.g. Jasus spp. (Mayfield et al., 2000; Pollock, 1979). Echninoidea (sea urchins) were found to be an important diet component for the three species (16-32% of the diet). Our results are in accordance with observations from other regions, although Seychelles spiny lobsters seemed to rely more on Echinoidea compared to the 4-28% and 4–8% gut volume for *P. guttatus* and *P. argus* from Yucatan peninsula, Mexico, respectively (Briones-Fourzán et al., 2019). This relatively high consumption of sea urchin could be related to the high abundance of sea urchins in shallow areas around Mahé Island (Pittman, 1996) and has already been suggested based on the high 20:4n-6 levels of both sea urchins and spiny lobsters in Seychelles (Sabino et al., 2020; Sardenne et al., 2017). However, spiny lobsters from granite reefs seemed to feed more on Echinoidea and less on molluscs than individuals from carbonate reefs, while sea urchins are mainly found in patchy reefs of Mahé Island, i.e. reefs with a sand, rock or rubble base (Jan-Claas, 2020). This suggests spiny lobsters might move between reef types, despite narrow home ranges being suspected for these species and similar to results from related species (650 m² per week based on 50% utilisation distribution of P. interruptus from California, USA; Withy-Allen and Hovel, 2013).

Interspecific differences in diet proportions were small, but *P. versicolor* consumed slightly more algae than the two other species, which is consistent with its lower trophic level (2.4 vs. 2.8). This dietary difference could help to reduce interspecific competition, as even a small difference in trophic level favoured co-occurrence between *Panulirus* species on Caribbean reefs (Segura-García et al., 2016). Overall, the trophic levels of Seychelles spiny lobsters (ranging from 2.3 to 3.1, n=64) were similar to those derived from δ^{15} N values for *P. cygnus* from

Western Australia (1.9–2.2) (Waddington et al., 2008) and Jasus edwardsii from New Zealand (2.5-4.0) (Jack and Wing, 2011). Such large ranges highlight the lobster's diet plasticity and their opportunistic feeding behaviour (Table S1). Regardless of the species, no ontogenetic change in trophic level was observed (i.e. no correlation between $\delta^{15}N$ derived trophic level and carapace length). In contrast, δ^{13} C values increased with spiny lobster size, indicating that large individuals may feed closer to the coast or more on prey with a strong benthic affinity (e.g. prey feeding on benthic organic matter with high δ^{13} C values) than their smaller/younger congeners. While limited information is available on lobster size distribution with bathymetry in shallow areas, ontogenetic changes in dietary preferences are known for other spiny lobster species: e.g. it was observed for Jasus paulensis, J. lalandii and P. cygnus (Blamey et al., 2019; Dumas et al., 2013; Haley et al., 2011; Mayfield et al., 2000). However, size related changes either in spatial distribution or in diet remain to be detangled. The size ranges of the three species were too narrow in the present study to define size classes to be included in the mixing model, but it would be interesting to explore this aspect further by including juveniles in the dataset.

4.2. Study caveats

Caveats regarding mixing model outputs should be highlighted, since uncertainties in the estimated dietary proportions of algae, Malacostra, and Echinoidea remain strong despite *a posteriori* aggregations of specific prey classes, which could thus bias the results. These uncertainties have two main origins: (i) a low discrimination of some potential prey based on their SI compositions and FA profiles. Using additional trophic tracers such as sulphur or mercury stable isotopes might improve this discrimination (e.g. Higgs et al., 2016; Jack et al., 2009); and (ii) the influence of FF parameters, which were estimated from linear regressions based on one diet experiment only. Additional diet experiments would be required to accurately estimate FFs (for both SI and FAs) and thus increase robustness of the model setup as previously highlighted (Brett et al., 2016; Phillips et al., 2014).

Apart from the model parameters, more samples of spiny lobsters from carbonate reefs and of juveniles/small adults is needed. Due to loss of carbonate reefs in the region, samples of lobsters from this habitat were small and could prevent detection of any differences between reef types and ontogenetic stages. Some potential prey were also probably missing, especially bivalve and decapod species, which have reduced contributions to lobster's diets.

4.3. Future directions

Several integrated trophic indicators are particularly suitable for the implementation of an ecosystem-based fisheries management, including the monitoring of trophic levels of catches and the mean number of trophic links per species (Tam et al., 2017). The present study will provide a baseline for trophic level of spiny lobsters from the Seychelles and might initiate monitoring. For instance, the seasonal monitoring of trophic level would help to detect diet shifts in the past few months (e.g. following coral bleaching or after the fishing season). For the sampling to be nonlethal, muscle tissue can be sampled from the last segment of the fourth walking leg (ca. 0.5 g of wet tissue is required), which will start to grow again at the next molt, as after natural autotomy. Monitoring may also allow trophic links per spiny lobsters species to be identified, especially regarding (i) potential shift in the diets of spiny lobsters before and after coral bleaching on carbonate reefs, as the dietary competition among spiny lobsters has increased after the coral bleaching event of 2016 (Sabino et al., 2020); and (ii) the influence of predator abundance, by comparing diets in heavily fished and protected regions throughout the ontogeny of spiny lobsters. Spiny lobsters have several predators especially at juvenile stage, such as octopus, small sharks, groupers, and snappers, which influence their sheltering and foraging behaviours (Ellis, 2018; Smith and Herrkind, 1992).

5. Conclusion

Spiny lobsters from the Seychelles are generalist feeders that appear to mainly feed on crustaceans, sea urchins and algae. Dietary differences related to species, reef type and ontogeny were weak, but *P. versicolor* had a lower trophic level than the two other species. Lobsters from carbonate reefs fed more on Molluscs than those from granite reefs, and large lobsters probably fed closer to the coast or more on detritus feeders than the small ones. These results should however be refined by including more individuals (including size ranges) and prey types, trophic tracers, and parameters from diet experiments.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Fany Sardenne: Laboratory analyses, Statistical analysis, Writing – 1st draft, Review & editing. Nathalie Bodin: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Sampling, Review & editing. Leo Barret: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Sampling, Review & editing. Laura Blamey: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Sampling, Review & editing. Rodney Govinden: Funding acquisition, Review & editing. Kettyna Gabriel: Sampling, Review & editing. Rosabella Mangroo: Sampling, Review & editing. Jean-Marie Munaron: Laboratory analyses, Review & editing. François Le Loc'h: Laboratory analyses, Review & editing. Antoine Bideau: Laboratory analyses, Review & editing. Fabienne Le Grand: Laboratory analyses, Review & editing. Magali Sabino: Laboratory analyses, Review & editing. Paco Bustamante: Review & editing. David Rowat: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

This work is a contribution to the project SEYCCAT-BGF1/L/N6 "Science based restoration of commercially important spiny lobster habitats to help develop a sustainable fishery" led by the Marine Conservation Society Seychelles (MCSS); and to the Seychelles Participatory Lobster Monitoring Programme (PLMP) led by the Seychelles Fishing Authority (SFA). The project benefited from the financial support of the Seychelles' Conservation and Climate Adaptation Trust (SEYCCAT), the Seychelles Governement, the European Fisheries Partnership Agreement (EU-FPA), and the Institute for Research and Development (IRD). The authors would like to thank the lobster fishermen and the R.V. L'Amitie crew who assisted with sampling, and are grateful to G. Guillou from the Plateforme Analyses Isotopiques of LIENSs (University of La Rochelle, France) for his support in the lobster stable isotope analysis. The Institut Universitaire de France (IUF) is acknowledged for its support to P. Bustamante as a senior member. We also thank two anonymous reviewers for their comments on an earlier draft of this work.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2021.101640.

References

- Antonio, E.S., Richoux, N.B., 2016. Influence of diet on the metabolic turnover of stable isotope ratios and fatty acids in the omnivorous shrimp *Palaemon peringueyi*. Mar. Biol. 163, 154. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-016-2930v.
- Barnes, D.K.A., Barnes, R.S.K., Smith, D.J., Rothery, P., 2009. Littoral biodiversity across scales in the Seychelles. Indian Ocean. Mar. Biodivers. 39, 109–119. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12526-009-0010-y.
- Barret, L., 2019. Spiny lobster (*Panulirus* sp.) life cycle a review and fisheries management implications. Seychelles Res. J. 1, 180–188.
- Bellchambers, L.M., Evans, S.N., Meeuwig, J.J., 2010. Abundance and size of western rock lobster (*Panulirus cygnus*) as a function of benthic habitat: implications for ecosystem-based fisheries management. Mar. Freshw. Res. 61 (279), http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/MF09031.
- Blamey, L.K., de Lecea, A.M., Jones, L.D.S., Branch, G.M., 2019. Diet of the spiny lobster Jasus paulensis from the Tristan da Cunha archipelago: Comparisons between islands, depths and lobster sizes. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 219, 262–272. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.02.021.
- Brett, M.T., Eisenlord, M.E., Galloway, A.W.E.E., 2016. Using multiple tracers and directly accounting for trophic modification improves dietary mixing-model performance. Ecosphere 7, e01440. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1440.
- Briones-Fourzán, P., Castañeda Fernández De Lara, V., Lozano-Álvarez, E., Estrada-Olivo, J., 2003. Feeding ecology of the three juvenile phases of the spiny lobster *Panulirus argus* in a tropical reef lagoon. Mar. Biol. 142, 855–865. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-003-1013-z.
- Briones-Fourzán, P., Álvarez Filip, L., Barradas-Ortíz, C., Morillo-Velarde, P.S., Negrete-Soto, F., Segura-García, I., Sánchez-González, A., Lozano-Álvarez, E., 2019. Coral reef degradation differentially alters feeding ecology of cooccurring congeneric spiny lobsters. Front. Mar. Sci. 5 (516), http://dx.doi. org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00516.
- Castañeda-Fernández-de-Lara, V., Serviere-Zaragoza, E., Hernández-Vázquez, S., Butler, M.J., 2005. Feeding ecology of juvenile spiny lobster, *Panulirus interruptus*, on the Pacific coast of Baja California Sur, Mexico. New Zeal. J. Mar. Freshw. Res. 39, 425–435. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00288330.2005.9517322.
- Caut, S., Angulo, E., Courchamp, F., 2009. Variation in discrimination factors (∆¹⁵ n and ∆¹³ C): the effect of diet isotopic values and applications for diet reconstruction. J. Appl. Ecol. 46, 443–453. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365–2664.2009.01620.x.
- Dumas, J.-P.P., Langlois, T.J., Clarke, K.R., Waddington, K.I., 2013. Strong preference for decapod prey by the western rock lobster *Panulirus cygnus*. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 439, 25–34.

- Ellis, R.D., 2018. Species interactions through ontogeny: Effects of size-selective predation by red grouper on Caribbean spiny lobster in solution holes of Florida Bay, J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 506, 115–123. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jembe.2018.05.008.
- France, R.L., 1995. Carbon-13 enrichment in benthic compared to planktonic algae: foodweb implications. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 124, 307–312. http://dx. doi.org/10.3354/meps124307.
- Franco-Trecu, V., Drago, M., Riet-Sapriza, F.G., Parnell, A., Frau, R., Inchausti, P., 2013. Bias in diet determination: incorporating traditional methods in Bayesian mixing models. PLoS One 8, e80019. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0080019.
- Goñi, R., Quetglas, A., Reñones, O., 2001. Diet of the spiny lobster *Palinurus elephas* (Decapoda: Palinuridea) from the Columbretes Islands Marine Reserve (north-western Mediterranean). J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK 81, 347–348.
- Graham, N.A.J., Wilson, S.K., Jennings, S., Polunin, N.V.C., Bijoux, J.P., Robinson, J., 2006. Dynamic fragility of oceanic coral reef ecosystems. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 103, 8425–8429. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600693103.
- Guest, M.A., Frusher, S.D., Nichols, P.D., Johnson, C.R., Wheatley, K.E., 2009. Trophic effects of fishing southern rock lobster *Jasus edwardsii* shown by combined fatty acid and stable isotope analyses. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 388, 169–184.
- Haley, C.N., Blamey, L.K., Atkinson, L.J., Branch, G.M., 2011. Dietary change of the rock lobster Jasus lalandii after an invasive geographic shift: Effects of size, density and food availability. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 93, 160–170. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2011.04.015.
- Harris, A., Wilson, S., Graham, N., Sheppard, C., 2014. Scleractinian coral communities of the inner seychelles 10 years after the 1998 mortality event. Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst. 24, 667–679. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aqc. 2464.
- Higgs, N.D., Newton, J., Attrill, M.J., 2016. Caribbean spiny lobster fishery is underpinned by trophic subsidies from chemosynthetic primary production. Curr. Biol. 26, 3393–3398. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.10.034.
- Jack, L., Wing, S., 2011. Individual variability in trophic position and diet of a marine omnivore is linked to kelp bed habitat. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 443, 129–139. http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps09468.
- Jack, L., Wing, S.R.S., McLeod, R.J.R., 2009. Prey base shifts in red rock lobster Jasus edwardsii in response to habitat conversion in Fiordland marine reserves:: implications for effective spatial management. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 381, 213–222. http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps07971.
- Jan-Claas, D., 2020. Confronting Feedback Processes on Degraded Coral Reefs. Lancaster university, Lancaster.
- Jankowska, E., De Troch, M., Michel, L.N., Lepoint, G., Włodarska-Kowalczuk, M., 2018. Modification of benthic food web structure by recovering seagrass meadows, as revealed by trophic markers and mixing models. Ecol. Indic. 90 (00), 28–37. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2018.02.054.
- Joll, L.M., Phillips, B.F., 1984. Natural diet and growth of juvenile western rock lobsters *Panulirus cygnus* George. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 75, 145–169. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(84)90178-3.
- MacArthur, L.D.L., Phillips, D.D.L., Hyndes, G.A.G., Hanson, C.E.C., Vanderklift, M.A., 2011. Habitat surrounding patch reefs influences the diet and nutrition of the western rock lobster. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 436, 191–205. http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps09256.
- Mashaii, N., Rajabipour, F., Shakouri, A., 2001. Feeding habits of the scalloped spiny lobster, *Panulirus homarus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Decapoda: Palinuridae) from the South East Coast of Iran. Turkish J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 11, 45–54.
- Mayfield, S., Atkinson, L.J.L.J., Branch, G.M.G.M.G., Cockcroft, A.C., Cockcroft, C., Cockcroft, A.C., 2000. Diet of the West Coast rock lobster Jasus lalandii : influence of lobster size, sex, capture depth, latitude and moult stage. South African J. Mar. Sci. 22, 57–69. http://dx.doi.org/10.2989/ 025776100784125690.
- McCutchan, J.H., Lewis, W.M., Kendall, C., McGrath, C.C., 2003. Variation in trophic shift for stable isotope ratios of carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur. Oikos 102, 378–390. http://dx.doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.12098.x.
- Neubauer, P., Jensen, O.P., 2015. Bayesian Estimation of Predator Diet Composition from Fatty Acids and Stable Isotopes. PeerJ 3, e920. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.7717/peerj.920.
- Obura, D., Gudka, M., Rabi, F.A., Gian, S.B., Bijoux, J., Freed, S., Maharavo, J., Mwaura, J., Porter, S., Sola, E., Wickel, J., Yahya, S., Ahamada, S., 2017. Coral reef status report for the western Indian Ocean. Indian Ocean Commission. ISBN: 978-99949-0-400-6.
- Parnell, A.C., Phillips, D.L., Bearhop, S., Semmens, B.X., Ward, E.J., Moore, J.W., Jackson, A.L., Grey, J., Kelly, D.J., Inger, R., 2013. Bayeslan stable isotope mixing models. Environmetrics 24, 387–399. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/env. 2221.
- Payet, R.J., Isidore, M., 2002. Spiny Lobster Fishery on the Mahe Plateau : 2001-2002. Victoria : Seychelles Fishing Authority, Victoria, Seychelles.
- Phillips, D.L., Inger, R., Bearhop, S., Jackson, A.L., Moore, J.W., Parnell, A.C., Semmens, B.X., Ward, E.J., 2014. Best practices for use of stable isotope mixing models in food-web studies. Can. J. Zool. 835, 823–835. http://dx. doi.org/10.1139/cjz-2014-0127.

- Phillips, D.L., Koch, P.L., 2002. Incorporating concentration dependence in stable isotope mixing models. Oecologia 130, 114–125. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s004420100786.
- Pikitch, E.K., Santora, C., Babcock, E.A., Bakun, A., Bonfil, R., Conover, D.O., Dayton, P., Doukakis, P., Fluharty, D., Heneman, B., Houde, E.D., Link, J., Livingston, P.A., Mangel, M., McAllister, M.K., Pope, J., Sainsbury, K.J., 2004. Ecosystem-based Fishery management. Science 305, 346–347. http://dx.doi. org/10.1126/science.1098222.
- Pittman, S.J., 1996. Marine Invertebrate Communities of Baie Ternay National Marine Park and Baie Beau Vallon. Mahe, Seychelles.
- Pollock, D.E., 1979. Predator-prey relationships between the rock lobster *Jasus lalandii* and the mussel Aulacomya ater at Robben Island on the Cape West Coast of Africa. Mar. Biol. 52, 347–356. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00389076.
- R Development Core Team, 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.r-project.org/index.html.
- Ramos, R., González-Solís, J., 2012. Trace me if you can: the use of intrinsic biogeochemical markers in marine top predators. Front. Ecol. Environ. 10, 258–266. http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/110140.
- Robinson, J.P.W., Wilson, S.K., Jennings, S., Graham, N.A.J., 2019. Thermal stress induces persistently altered coral reef fish assemblages. Glob. Chang. Biol. 25, 2739–2750. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14704.
- Sabino, M., Bustamante, P., Bodin, N., 2020. ENvironment and Societies on the project Nutrients and Contaminants in Seychelles Fisheries Resources (SEY-FISH). Progress report of the study period 2018-2020: Research Collaboration Agreement between the Seychelle Fishing Authority and the LIttoral, Victoria, Seychelles, 119p.
- Sardenne, F., Bodin, N., Metral, L., Crottier, A., Le Grand, F., Bideau, A., Brisset, B., Bourjea, J., Saraux, C., Bonhommeau, S., Kerzérho, V., Bernard, S., Rouyer, T., 2019. Effects of extraction method and storage of dry tissue on marine lipids and fatty acids. Anal. Chim. Acta. 1051, 82–93. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/J. ACA.2018.11.012.
- Sardenne, F., Hollanda, S., Lawrence, S., Albert-Arrisol, R., Degroote, M., Bodin, N., 2017. Trophic structures in tropical marine ecosystems: a comparative investigation using three different ecological tracers. Ecol. Indic. 81, 315–324. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.06.001.
- Segura-García, I., Briones-Fourzán, P., de Lestang, S., Lozano-Álvarez, E., 2016. Dietary partitioning between sympatric species of spiny lobster in a coral reef system. Bull. Mar. Sci. 92, 355–369. http://dx.doi.org/10.5343/bms.2015. 1073.
- Selin, N.I., Latypov, Y.Y., Malyutin, A.N., Bolshakova, L.N., 1992. Species composition and abundance of corals and other invertebrates on the reefs of the Seychelles islands. no 368. Atoll Research Bulletin.
- SFA Fisheries Research Section, 2019. Report on the Spiny Lobster Fishery. Victoria, Seychelles.
- Shu-Chien, A.C., Han, W.-Y., Carter, C.G., Fitzgibbon, Q.P., Simon, C.J., Kuah, M.-K., Battaglene, S.C., Codabaccus, B.M., Ventura, T., 2017. Effect of dietary lipid source on expression of lipid metabolism genes and tissue lipid profile in juvenile spiny lobster *Sagmariasus verreauxi*. Aquaculture 479, 342–351. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/J.AQUACULTURE.2017.05.036.
- Smith, K.N., Herrkind, W.F., 1992. Predation on early juvenile spiny lobsters *Panulirus argus* (Latreille): influence of size and shelter. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 157, 3–18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(92)90070-Q.
- Tam, J.C., Link, J.S., Rossberg, A.G., Rogers, S.I., Levin, P.S., Rochet, M.-J.J., Bundy, A., Belgrano, A., Libralato, S., Tomczak, M., Wolfshaar, K.Van.De., Pranovi, F., Gorokhova, E., Large, S.I., Niquil, N., Greenstreet, S.P.R.R., Druon, J.-N.N., Lesutiene, J., Johansen, M., Preciado, I., Patricio, J., Palialexis, A., Tett, P., Johansen, G.O., Houle, J., Rindorf, A., 2017. Towards ecosystem-based management: identifying operational food-web indicators for marine ecosystems. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 74, 2040–2052. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsw230.
- Taylor, J.D., 1968. Coral reef and associated invertebrate communities (Mainly Molluscan) Around Mahe. Seychelles. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London B Biol. Sci. 254, 129–206. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1968.0015.
- Vander Zanden, M.J., Cabana, G., Rasmussen, J.B., 1997. Comparing trophic position of freshwater fish calculated using stable nitrogen isotope ratios (δ^{15} N) and literature dietary data. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 54, 1142–1158. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/f97-016.
- Vander Zanden, M.J., Rasmussen, J.B., Zanden, M.J. Vander., Rasmussen, J.B., 2001. Variation in δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C trophic fractionation: Implications for aquatic food web studies. Limnol. Oceanogr. 46, 2061–2066. http://dx.doi.org/10. 4319/lo.2001.46.8.2061.
- Waddington, K., 2008. Variation in evacuation rates of different foods skew estimates of diet in the western rock lobster *Panulirus cygnus*. Mar. Freshw. Res. 59 (347), http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/MF07156.
- Waddington, K.I., Bellchambers, L.M., Vanderklift, M.A., Walker, D.I., 2008. Western rock lobsters (*Panulirus cygnus*) in western Australian deep coastal ecosystems (35-60 m) are more carnivorous than those in shallow coastal ecosystems. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 79, 114–120. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.ecss.2008.03.008.

F. Sardenne, N. Bodin, L. Barret et al.

- Waddington, K., MacArthur, L., 2008. Diet quality and muscle tissue location influence consumer-diet discrimination in captive-reared rock lobsters (*Pan-ulirus cygnus*). Mar. Biol. 154, 569–576. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-008-0950-y.
- Withy-Allen, K.R., Hovel, K.A., 2013. California spiny lobster (*Panulirus interruptus*) movement behaviour and habitat use: implications for the effectiveness of marine protected areas. Mar. Freshw. Res. 64 (359), http://dx.doi.org/10. 1071/MF12127.
- Young, T., Pincin, J., Neubauer, P., Ortega-García, S., Jensen, O.P., 2018. Investigating diet patterns of highly mobile marine predators using stomach contents, stable isotope, and fatty acid analyses. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 75, 1583–1590. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsy025.